## Read Ch. 17: <br> Cooperation \& Competition
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## Autonomous Agent

- "a unit that interacts with its environment (which probably consists of other agents)
- but acts independently from all other agents in that it does not take commands from some seen or unseen leader,
- nor does an agent have some idea of a global plan that it should be following." -Flake (p. 261)
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A. Schools, Flocks, \& Herds
"and the thousands of fishes moved as a huge beast, piercing the water. They appeared united, inexorably bound to a common fate.
How comes this unity?"

## Coordinated Collective Movement

- Groups of animals can behave almost like a single organism
- Can execute swift maneuvers - for predation or to avoid predation
- Individuals rarely collide, even in frenzy of attack or escape
- Shape is characteristic of species, but flexible
- Prey avoiding predation
- More efficient predation by predators
- Other efficiencies

Adaptive Significance

## Avoiding Predation

- More compact aggregation
- predator risks injury by attacking
- Confusing predator by:
- united erratic maneuvers (e.g. zigzagging)
- separation into subgroups (e.g., flash expansion \& fountain effect)
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Fountain Effect



## Better Predation

- Coordinated movements to trap prey
- e.g. parabolic formation of tuna
- More efficient predation
- e.g., killer whales encircle dolphins
- take turns eating


## Other Efficiencies

- Fish schooling may increase hydrodynamic efficiency
- endurance may be increased up to $6 x$
- school acts like "group-level vehicle"
- V-formation increases efficiency of geese - range $70 \%$ greater than that of individual
- Lobsters line up single file by touch
- move $40 \%$ faster than when isolated
- decreased hydrodynamic drag


## Characteristic Arrangement of School

- Shape is characteristic of species
- Fish have preferred distance, elevation \& bearing relative to neighbors
- Fish avoid coming within a certain minimum distance
- closer in larger schools
- closer in faster moving schools
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## Alternatives to Self-Organization

- "Templates"
- no evidence that water currents, light, chemicals guide collective movement
- "Leaders"
- no evidence for leaders
- those in front may drop behind
- those on flank may find selves in front
- each adjusts to several neighbors
- "Blueprint" or "Recipe"
- implausible for coordination of large schools
- e.g., millions of herring, hundreds of millions of cod 11/17/10


## Self-Organization Hypothesis

- Simple attraction \& repulsion rules generate schooling behavior
- positive feedback: brings individuals together
- negative feedback: but not too close
- Rules rely on local information
- i.e. positions \& headings of a few nearby fish
- no global plan or centralized leader


## Mechanisms of Individual Coordination

- Vision
- governs attraction
- \& alignment
- Lateral line
- sensitive to water movement
- provides information on speed \& direction of neighbors
- governs repulsion
- \& speed matching
- How is this information integrated into a behavioral plan?
- most sensitive to nearest neighbors
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## Basic Assumptions

 of Huth \& Wissel (1992) Model- All fish follow same rules
- Each uses some sort of weighted average of positions \& orientations of nearest neighbors
- Fish respond to neighbors probabilistically
- imperfect information gathering
- imperfect execution of actions
- No external influences affect fish
- e.g. no water currents, obstacles, ...
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## Model Behavior of Individual

1. Determine a target direction from each of three nearest neighbors:
if in repel range, then $180^{\circ}+$ direction to neighbor
else if in orient range, then heading of neighbor
else if in attract range, then
accelerate if ahead, decelerate if behind; return direction to neighbor
else return our own current heading
2. Determine overall target direc. as average of 3 neighbors inversely weighted by their distances
3. Turn a fraction in this direction (determined by flexibility) + some randomness
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## Limitations of Model

- Model addresses only motion in absence of external influences
- Ignores obstacle avoidance
- Ignores avoidance behaviors such as:
- flash expansion
- fountain effect
- Recent work (since 1997) has addressed some of these issues



## NetLogo Simulation

- Flockmates are those within "vision"
- If nearest flockmate < minimum separation, turn away
- Else:
- align with average heading of flockmates
- cohere by turning toward average flockmate direction
- All turns limited specified maxima
- Note fluid behavior from deterministic rules
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## Obstacle Avoidance

- Boid flock avoiding cylindrical obstacles (Reynolds 1986)
- This model incorporates:
- predictive obstacle avoidance
- goal seeking (scripted path)
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## Jon Klein’s Flocking Algorithm

- Sight limited by "vision"
- Balances 6 "urges":
- be near center of flock
- have same velocity as flockmates
- keep spacing correct
- avoid collisions with obstacles
- be near center of world
- wander throughout world
- Strength of urge affects acceleration 11/17/10 ${ }^{31}$


## Demonstration of Klein's

Flocking Algorithm

Run Flocking 3D Alternate.nlogo
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## Use in Computer Animation



- Extract from Stanley and Stella in
"Breaking the Ice" (1987)
- store.yahoo.com/ odyssey3d/ comanclascli2.html

Particle Swarm Optimization
(Kennedy \& Eberhart, 1995)
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## Motivation

- Originally a model of social information sharing
- Abstract vs. concrete spaces
- cannot occupy same locations in concrete space
- can in abstract space (two individuals can have same idea)
- Global optimum (\& perhaps many suboptima)
- Combines:
- private knowledge (best solution each has found)
- public knowledge (best solution entire group has found)
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## Particle Swarms

Idea
$\square$ moving points in the search space, which refine their
knowledge by interaction
What is a particle?

- a particle consists of:
$\begin{array}{ll}\overrightarrow{x_{i}} & \text { position } \\ \overrightarrow{v_{i}} & \text { velocity }\end{array}$
$p_{i}$ best position found so far velocity and position update rules

(Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995)


Example
Particle Swarms
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Fig. from EVALife site
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## Variables

$\mathbf{x}_{k}=$ current position of particle $k$
$\mathbf{v}_{k}=$ current velocity of particle $k$
$\mathbf{p}_{k}=$ best position found by particle $k$
$Q(\mathbf{x})=$ quality of position $\mathbf{x}$
$g=$ index of best position found so far i.e., $g=\operatorname{argmax}_{k} Q\left(\mathbf{p}_{k}\right)$
$\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}=$ random variables uniformly distributed over $[0,2]$
$w=$ inertia $<1$
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## Velocity \& Position Updating

$\mathbf{v}_{k}{ }^{\prime}=w \mathbf{v}_{k}+\phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{g}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$
$w \mathbf{v}_{k}$ maintains direction (inertial part)
$\phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ turns toward private best (cognition part)
$\phi_{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{g}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ turns towards public best (social part)
$\mathbf{x}_{k}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{k}+\mathbf{v}_{k}{ }^{\prime}$

- Allowing $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}>1$ permits overshooting and better exploration (important!')
- Good balance of exploration \& exploitation
- Limiting $\left\|\mathbf{v}_{k}\right\|<\left\|\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}\right\|$ controls resolution of search 11/17/10


> Yuhui Shi’s Demonstration of Particle Swarm Optimization

Run www.engr.iupui.edu/~shi/PSO/ AppletGUI.html

## Improvements

- Alternative velocity update equation:
$\mathbf{v}_{k}{ }^{\prime}=\chi\left[w \mathbf{v}_{k}+\phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{g}-\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\right]$
$\chi=$ constriction coefficient (controls magnitude of $\mathbf{v}_{k}$ )
- Alternative neighbor relations:
- star: fully connected (each responds to best of all others; fast information flow)
- circle: connected to $K$ immediate neighbors (slows information flow)
- wheel: connected to one axis particle (moderate information flow)
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## Netlogo Demonstration of

 Particle Swarm Optimization with Collision Avoidance
## Run PSO.nlogo

## Millonas' Five Basic Principles of Swarm Intelligence

1. Proximity principle:
pop. should perform simple space \& time computations
2. Quality principle:
pop. should respond to quality factors in environment
3. Principle of diverse response:
pop. should not commit to overly narrow channels
4. Principle of stability:
pop. should not change behavior every time env. changes
5. Principle of adaptability:
pop. should change behavior when it's worth comp. price
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## Some Applications of PSO

- integer programming
- minimax problems
- in optimal control
- engineering design
- discrete optimization
- Chebyshev approximation
- game theory
- multiobjective optimization
- hydrologic problems
- musical improvisation!
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- Spatial extension avoids premature convergence
- Preserves diversity in population
- More like flocking/schooling models

11/17/10 Fig. from EVALife site

Spatial Extension

$\mathrm{x}_{1}$
$\qquad$
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