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Artificial Neural Net Learning

## Supervised Learning

- Produce desired outputs for training inputs
- Generalize reasonably \& appropriately to other inputs
- Good example: pattern recognition
- Feedforward multilayer networks $\qquad$
$\qquad$
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Typical Artificial Neuron
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Typical Artificial Neuron

$\qquad$

## Equations

Net input:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{i} & =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i j} s_{j}\right)-\theta \\
\mathbf{h} & =\mathbf{W} \mathbf{s}-\theta \\
s_{i}^{\prime} & =\sigma\left(h_{i}\right) \\
\mathbf{s}^{\prime} & =\sigma(\mathbf{h})
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Single Layer Perceptron Equations

Binary threshold activation function:
$\sigma(h)=\Theta(h)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } h>0 \\ 0, & \text { if } h \leq 0\end{cases}$
Hence, $y= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j}>\theta \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
$= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}>\theta \\ 0, & \text { if } \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \theta\end{cases}$
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## Goal of Perceptron Learning

- Suppose we have training patterns $\mathbf{x}^{1}, \mathbf{x}^{2}$, $\ldots, \mathbf{x}^{P}$ with corresponding desired outputs $y^{1}, y^{2}, \ldots, y^{P}$
- where $\mathbf{x}^{p} \in\{0,1\}^{n}, y^{p} \in\{0,1\}$
- We want to find $\mathbf{w}, \theta$ such that $y^{p}=\Theta\left(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{p}-\theta\right)$ for $p=1, \ldots, P$

Treating Threshold as Weight
$\qquad$
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Treating Threshold as Weight
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> Augmented Vectors
> $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\theta \\ w_{1} \\ \vdots \\ w_{n}\end{array}\right) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ x_{1}^{p} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}^{p}\end{array}\right)$

We want $y^{p}=\Theta\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p}\right), p=1, \ldots, P$

## Reformulation as Positive Examples

We have positive $\left(y^{p}=1\right)$ and negative $\left(y^{p}=0\right)$ examples $\qquad$
Want $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p}>0$ for positive, $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p} \leq 0$ for negative
Let $\mathbf{z}^{p}=\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p}$ for positive, $\mathbf{z}^{p}=-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{p}$ for negative

Want $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p} \geq 0$, for $p=1, \ldots, P$
Hyperplane through origin with all $\mathbf{z}^{p}$ on one side 3/23/16 17

Adjustment of Weight Vector

$\qquad$

## Outline of <br> Perceptron Learning Algorithm

$\qquad$

1. initialize weight vector randomly
2. until all patterns classified correctly, do: $\qquad$
a) for $p=1, \ldots, P$ do:
1) if $\mathbf{z}^{p}$ classified correctly, do nothing
2) else adjust weight vector to be closer to correct classification
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Improvement in Performance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p} & =\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}+\eta \mathbf{z}^{p}\right) \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p} \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p}+\eta \mathbf{z}^{p} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p} \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p}+\eta\left\|\mathbf{z}^{p}\right\|^{2} \\
& >\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perceptron Learning Theorem

- If there is a set of weights that will solve the problem,
- then the PLA will eventually find it
- (for a sufficiently small learning rate)
- Note: only applies if positive \& negative examples are linearly separable

NetLogo Simulation of Perceptron Learning

Run Perceptron-Geometry.nlogo
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## Classification Power of Multilayer Perceptrons

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Perceptrons can function as logic gates
- Therefore MLP can form intersections, unions, differences of linearly-separable regions
- Classes can be arbitrary hyperpolyhedra
- Minsky \& Papert criticism of perceptrons
- No one succeeded in developing a MLP learning algorithm
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Credit Assignment Problem
How do we adjust the weights of the hidden layers?
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## Gradient

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{k}}$ measures how $F$ is altered by variation of $P_{k}$ $\qquad$

$$
\nabla F=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\partial F / \partial P_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\partial F / \partial P_{k} \\
\vdots \\
\partial F / \partial P_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\nabla F$ points in direction of maximum local increase in $F$
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Gradient Ascent Process

$$
\dot{\mathbf{P}}=\eta \nabla F(\mathbf{P})
$$

Change in fitness :
$\dot{F}=\frac{\mathrm{d} F}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} P_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}(\nabla F)_{k} \dot{P}_{k}$
$\dot{F}=\nabla F \cdot \dot{\mathbf{P}}$
$\dot{F}=\nabla F \cdot \eta \nabla F=\eta\|\nabla F\|^{2} \geq 0$
Therefore gradient ascent increases fitness (until reaches 0 gradient)

## General Ascent in Fitness

Note that any adaptive process $\mathbf{P}(t)$ will increase fitness provided:
$0<\dot{F}=\nabla F \cdot \dot{\mathbf{P}}=\|\nabla F\| \| \dot{\mathbf{P}}| | \cos \varphi$
where $\varphi$ is angle between $\nabla F$ and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}$ $\qquad$

Hence we need $\cos \varphi>0$
or $|\varphi|<90$
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## Fitness as Minimum Error

Suppose for $Q$ different inputs we have target outputs $\mathbf{t}^{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}^{Q}$
Suppose for parameters $\mathbf{P}$ the corresponding actual outputs are $\mathbf{y}^{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}^{Q}$

Suppose $D(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}) \in[0, \infty)$ measures difference between $\qquad$ target \& actual outputs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } E^{q}=D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right) \text { be error on } q \text { th sample } \\
& \text { Let } F(\mathbf{P})=-\sum_{q=1}^{Q} E^{q}(\mathbf{P})=-\sum_{q=1}^{Q} D\left[\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}(\mathbf{P})\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

> Gradient of Fitness
> $\nabla F=\nabla\left(-\sum_{q} E^{q}\right)=-\sum_{q} \nabla E^{q}$
> $\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial P_{k}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{k}} D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)}{\partial y_{j}^{q}} \frac{\partial y_{j}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}}$
> $=\frac{\mathrm{d} D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)}{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}^{q}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}}$
> $=\nabla_{y^{9}} D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right) \cdot \partial \mathbf{y}^{q} / \partial P_{k}$

## Jacobian Matrix

Define Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J}^{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\partial y_{1}^{q} / \partial P_{1} & \ldots & \partial y_{1}^{q} / \partial P_{m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial y_{n}^{q} / \partial P_{1} & \ldots & \partial y_{n}^{q} / \partial P_{m}\end{array}\right)$
Note $\mathbf{J}^{q} \in \Re^{n \times m}$ and $\nabla D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right) \in \Re^{n \times 1}$
Since $\left(\nabla E^{q}\right)_{k}=\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial P_{k}}=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial y_{j}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}} \frac{\partial D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)}{\partial y_{j}^{q}}$,
$\therefore \nabla E^{q}=\left(\mathbf{J}^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)$
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Derivative of Squared Euclidean Distance
Suppose $D(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y})=\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(t_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}$
$\frac{\partial D(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} \sum_{i}\left(t_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial\left(t_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}{\partial y_{j}}$
$=\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(t_{j}-y_{j}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} y_{j}}=-2\left(t_{j}-y_{j}\right)$
$\therefore \frac{\mathrm{d} D(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y})}{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}}=2(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t})$

Gradient of Error on $q^{\text {th }}$ Input

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial P_{k}} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} D\left(\mathbf{t}^{q}, \mathbf{y}^{q}\right)}{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}^{q}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}} \\
& =2\left(\mathbf{y}^{q}-\mathbf{t}^{q}\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}} \\
& =2 \sum_{j}\left(y_{j}^{q}-t_{j}^{q}\right) \frac{\partial y_{j}^{q}}{\partial P_{k}} \\
\nabla E^{q} & =2\left(\mathbf{J}^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{y}^{q}-\mathbf{t}^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Recap } \\
\dot{\mathbf{P}}=\eta \sum_{q}\left(\mathbf{J}^{\boldsymbol{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{t}^{\boldsymbol{q}}-\mathbf{y}^{q}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

To know how to decrease the differences between $\qquad$ actual \& desired outputs,
we need to know elements of Jacobian, ${ }^{\partial y_{j}^{q}} / \partial P_{k}$,
which says how $j$ th output varies with $k$ th parameter (given the $q$ th input)
The Jacobian depends on the specific form of the system, in this case, a feedforward neural network

## Multilayer Notation


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Notation

- $L$ layers of neurons labeled $1, \ldots, L$
- $N_{l}$ neurons in layer $l$
- $\mathbf{s}^{l}=$ vector of outputs from neurons in layer $l$
- input layer $\mathbf{s}^{1}=\mathbf{x}^{q}$ (the input pattern)
- output layer $\mathbf{s}^{L}=\mathbf{y}^{q}$ (the actual output)
- $\mathbf{W}^{l}=$ weights between layers $l$ and $l+1$
- Problem: find out how outputs $y_{i}^{q}$ vary with weights $W_{j k}^{l}(l=1, \ldots, L-1)$
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## Typical Neuron
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## Error Back-Propagation

We will compute $\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l}}$ starting with last layer $(l=L-1)$ and working back to earlier layers ( $l=L-2, \ldots, 1$ )

## Delta Values

Convenient to break derivatives by chain rule:
$\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}=\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial h_{i}^{l}} \frac{\partial h_{i}^{l}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}$
Let $\delta_{i}^{l}=\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial h_{i}^{l}}$
So $\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}=\delta_{i}^{l} \frac{\partial h_{i}^{l}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}$

3/23/16

Output-Layer Neuron
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Output-Layer Derivatives (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i}^{L} & =\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial h_{i}^{L}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial h_{i}^{L}} \sum_{k}\left(s_{k}^{L}-t_{k}^{q}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(s_{i}^{L}-t_{i}^{q}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} h_{i}^{L}}=2\left(s_{i}^{L}-t_{i}^{q}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} s_{i}^{L}}{\mathrm{~d} h_{i}^{L}} \\
& =2\left(s_{i}^{L}-t_{i}^{q}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{L}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Output-Layer Derivatives (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial h_{i}^{L}}{\partial W_{i}^{L-L}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{i j}^{L-L}} \sum_{k} w_{i k}^{L-1} s_{k}^{L-1}=s_{j}^{s^{L-1}} \\
& \therefore \frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{1-1}^{L-1}}=\delta_{i}^{t} s_{s}^{L-1} \\
& \text { where } \delta_{i}^{L}=2\left(s_{i}^{L}-t_{i}^{q} \sigma^{\top}\left(h_{i}^{L}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hidden-Layer Neuron


Hidden-Layer Derivatives (1)

$$
\text { Recall } \frac{\partial E^{9}}{\partial W_{i}^{-1}} \delta_{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial W_{i}^{-1}}
$$



$\therefore \delta_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{k}^{\delta_{k}^{\prime \prime} W_{k}^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{\prime \prime} W_{k i}^{\prime}}$

Hidden-Layer Derivatives (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial h_{i}^{l}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}} \sum_{k} W_{i k}^{l-1} s_{k}^{l-1}=\frac{\mathrm{d} W_{i j}^{l-1} s_{j}^{l-1}}{\mathrm{~d} W_{i j}^{l-1}}=s_{j}^{l-1} \\
\therefore & \frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}=\delta_{i}^{l} s_{j}^{l-1} \\
& \text { where } \delta_{i}^{l}=\sigma^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{l}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{l+1} W_{k i}^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Derivative of Sigmoid

Suppose $s=\sigma(h)=\frac{1}{1+\exp (-\alpha h)}$ (logistic sigmoid)
$\mathrm{D}_{h} s=\mathrm{D}_{h}[1+\exp (-\alpha h)]^{-1}=-[1+\exp (-\alpha h)]^{-2} \mathrm{D}_{h}\left(1+e^{-\alpha h}\right)$
$=-\left(1+e^{-\alpha h}\right)^{-2}\left(-\alpha e^{-\alpha h}\right)=\alpha \frac{e^{-\alpha h}}{\left(1+e^{-\alpha h}\right)^{2}}$
$=\alpha \frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha h}} \frac{e^{-\alpha h}}{1+e^{-\alpha h}}=\alpha s\left(\frac{1+e^{-\alpha h}}{1+e^{-\alpha h}}-\frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha h}}\right)$
$=\alpha s(1-s)$
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Summary of Back-Propagation Algorithm

Output layer: $\delta_{i}^{L}=2 \alpha s_{i}^{L}\left(1-s_{i}^{L}\right)\left(s_{i}^{L}-t_{i}^{q}\right)$

$$
\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{L-1}}=\delta_{i}^{L} s_{j}^{L-1}
$$

Hidden layers: $\delta_{i}^{l}=\alpha s_{i}^{l}\left(1-s_{i}^{l}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{l+1} W_{k i}^{l}$ $\qquad$

$$
\frac{\partial E^{q}}{\partial W_{i j}^{l-1}}=\delta_{i}^{l} s_{j}^{l-1}
$$


$\qquad$

Hidden-Layer Computation


## Training Procedures

- Batch Learning
- on each epoch (pass through all the training pairs),
- weight changes for all pattems accumulated
- weight matrices updated at end of epoch
- accurate computation of gradient $\qquad$
- Online Learning
- weight are updated after back-prop of each training pair $\qquad$
- usually randomize order for each epoch
- approximation of gradient
- Doesn't make much difference
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## A Few Random Tips

- Too few neurons and the ANN may not be able to $\qquad$ decrease the error enough
- Too many neurons can lead to rote learning $\qquad$
- Preprocess data to:
- standardize $\qquad$
- eliminate irrelevant information
- capture invariances
- keep relevant information
- If stuck in local min.,restart with different random weights
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## Run Example BP Learning

$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
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## Beyond Back-Propagation

- Adaptive Learning Rate
- Adaptive Architecture
- Add/delete hidden neurons $\qquad$
- Add/delete hidden layers
- Radial Basis Function Networks $\qquad$
- Recurrent BP
- Etc., etc., etc....


## Deep Belief Networks

- Inspired by hierarchical representations in mammalian sensory systems
- Use "deep" (multilayer) feed-forward nets
- Layers self-organize to represent input at progressively more abstract, task-relevant levels
- Supervised training (e.g.,BP) can be used to tune network performance.
- Each layer is a Restricted Boltzmann Machine
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## Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- Goal: hidden units become model of input domain
- Should capture statistics of input
- Evaluate by testing its ability to reproduce input statistics
- Change weights to decrease difference ${ }^{323316}$

(fig. from wikipedia) ${ }^{68}$


## Unsupervised RBM Learning

- Stochastic binary units - Set $y_{i}^{\prime}$ with probability
- Assume bias units $x_{0}=y_{0}=1$
- Set $y_{i}$ with probability $\sigma\left(\sum_{j} W_{i j} x_{j}\right)$
- Set $x_{j}^{\prime}$ with probability $\sigma\left(\sum_{i} W_{i j} y_{i}\right)$
$\sigma\left(\sum_{j} W_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)$
- After several cycles of sampling, update weights based on statistics:
$\Delta W_{i j}=\eta\left(\left\langle y_{i} x_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle y_{i}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$


## Training a DBN Network

- Present inputs and do RBM learning with first hidden layer to develop model
- When converged, do RBM learning between first and second hidden layers to develop higher-level model
- Continue until all weight layers trained
- May further train with BP or other supervised learning algorithms
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## What is the Power of

 Artificial Neural Networks? $\qquad$- With respect to Turing machines?
- As function approximators?
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## Can ANNs Exceed the "Turing Limit"?

$\qquad$

- There are many results, which depend sensitively on $\qquad$ assumptions; for example:
- Finite NNs with real-valued weights have super-Turing power (Siegelmann \& Sontag ‘94)
- Recurrent nets with Gaussian noise have sub-Turing power (Maass \& Sontag '99) $\qquad$
- Finite recurrent nets with real weights can recognize all languages, and thus are super-Turing (Siegelmann '99)
- Stochastic nets with rational weights have super-Turing
$\qquad$ power (but only P/POLY, BPP/log*) (Siegelmann '99)
- But computing classes of functions is not a very rele vant
$\qquad$ way to evaluate the capabilities of neural computation 3/23/16 72


## A Universal Approximation Theorem

$\qquad$
Suppose $f$ is a continuous function on $[0,1]^{n}$
Suppose $\sigma$ is a nonconstant, bounded,
monotone increasing real function on $\Re$.
For any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $m$ such that
$\exists \mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{R}^{m}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{R}^{n}, \mathbf{W} \in \mathfrak{R}^{m \times n}$ such that if
$F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{i j} x_{j}+b_{j}\right)$
$[$ i.e., $F(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{a} \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})]$
then $|F(\mathbf{x})-f(\mathbf{x})|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in[0,1]^{\prime \prime}$
3/23/16
(see, e.g., Haykin, N.Nets 2/e, 208-9)

One Hidden Layer is Sufficient

- Conclusion: One hidden layer is sufficient to approximate any continuous function arbitrarily closely
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## The Golden Rule of Neural Nets

$\qquad$

Neural Networks are the second-best way to do everything!
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
B $\qquad$

