

## B. Stochastic Neural Networks

(in particular, the stochastic Hopfield network)

3/1/17 1

### Trapping in Local Minimum

3/1/17 2

### Escape from Local Minimum

3/1/17 3

### Escape from Local Minimum

3/1/17 4

### Motivation

- **Idea:** with low probability, go against the local field
  - move up the energy surface
  - make the “wrong” microdecision
- **Potential value for optimization:** escape from local optima
- **Potential value for associative memory:** escape from spurious states
  - because they have higher energy than imprinted states

3/1/17 5

### The Stochastic Neuron

Deterministic neuron:  $s'_i = \text{sgn}(h_i)$


$\Pr\{s'_i = +1\} = \Theta(h_i)$   
 $\Pr\{s'_i = -1\} = 1 - \Theta(h_i)$

Stochastic neuron:  
 $\Pr\{s'_i = +1\} = \sigma(h_i)$   
 $\Pr\{s'_i = -1\} = 1 - \sigma(h_i)$

Logistic sigmoid:  $\sigma(h) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2h/T)}$

3/1/17 6

### Properties of Logistic Sigmoid




$$\sigma(h) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2h/T}}$$

- As  $h \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\sigma(h) \rightarrow 1$
- As  $h \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\sigma(h) \rightarrow 0$
- $\sigma(0) = 1/2$

3/1/17 7


### Logistic Sigmoid With Varying $T$



$T$  varying from 0.05 to  $\infty$  ( $1/T = \beta = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 20$ )

3/1/17 8


### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 0.5$



Slope at origin =  $1 / 2T$


3/1/17 9

### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 0.01$




3/1/17 10

### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 0.1$




3/1/17 11

### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 1$



3/1/17 12


### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 10$



3/1/17

13

### Logistic Sigmoid $T = 100$



3/1/17

14

### Pseudo-Temperature

- Temperature = measure of thermal energy (heat)
- Thermal energy = vibrational energy of molecules
- A source of random motion
- Pseudo-temperature = a measure of nondirected (random) change
- Logistic sigmoid gives same equilibrium probabilities as Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution

3/1/17

15

### Transition Probability

$$\text{Recall, change in energy } \Delta E = -\Delta s_k h_k = 2s_k h_k$$

$$\Pr\{s'_k = \pm 1 | s_k = \mp 1\} = \sigma(\pm h_k) = \sigma(-s_k h_k)$$

$$\Pr\{s_k \rightarrow -s_k\} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(2s_k h_k / T)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\Delta E / T)}$$

3/1/17

16

### Stability

- Are stochastic Hopfield nets stable?
- Thermal noise prevents absolute stability
- But with symmetric weights:  
average values  $\langle s_i \rangle$  become time - invariant

3/1/17

17


### Does “Thermal Noise” Improve Memory Performance?

- Experiments by Bar-Yam (pp. 316–20):
  - $n = 100$
  - $p = 8$
- Random initial state
- To allow convergence, after 20 cycles set  $T = 0$
- How often does it converge to an imprinted pattern?

3/1/17

18

Probability of Random State Converging on Imprinted State ( $n=100, p=8$ )




3/1/17

(fig. from Bar-Yam)

19

Probability of Random State Converging on Imprinted State ( $n=100, p=8$ )



3/1/17

(fig. from Bar-Yam)

20


Analysis of Stochastic Hopfield Network

- Complete analysis by Daniel J. Amit & colleagues in mid-80s
- See D. J. Amit, *Modeling Brain Function: The World of Attractor Neural Networks*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- The analysis is beyond the scope of this course

3/1/17

21

Phase Diagram




3/1/17

(fig. from Domany & al. 1991)

$\alpha_c = 0.138$

22

Conceptual Diagrams of Energy Landscape




3/1/17

(fig. from Hertz & al. *Intr. Theory Neur. Comp.*)

23

Phase Diagram Detail



3/1/17

(fig. from Domany & al. 1991)

$\alpha_c = 0.138$

24

## Simulated Annealing

(Kirkpatrick, Gelatt & Vecchi, 1983)

3/1/1725

## Dilemma

- In the early stages of search, we want a high temperature, so that we will explore the space and find the basins of the global minimum
- In the later stages we want a low temperature, so that we will relax into the global minimum and not wander away from it
- **Solution:** decrease the temperature gradually during search

3/1/1726

## Quenching vs. Annealing

- **Quenching:**
  - rapid cooling of a hot material
  - may result in defects & brittleness
  - local order but global disorder
  - locally low-energy, globally frustrated
- **Annealing:**
  - slow cooling (or alternate heating & cooling)
  - reaches equilibrium at each temperature
  - allows global order to emerge
  - achieves global low-energy state

3/1/1727

## Multiple Domains



3/1/1728

## Moving Domain Boundaries

3/1/1729

## Effect of Moderate Temperature


3/1/1730



- ### Annealing Schedule
- Controlled decrease of temperature
  - Should be sufficiently slow to allow equilibrium to be reached at each temperature
  - With sufficiently slow annealing, the global minimum will be found with probability 1
  - Design of schedules is a topic of research

- ### Typical Practical Annealing Schedule
- **Initial temperature**  $T_0$  sufficiently high so all transitions allowed
  - **Exponential cooling:**  $T_{k+1} = \alpha T_k$ 
    - typical  $0.8 < \alpha < 0.99$
    - fixed number of trials at each temp.
    - expect at least 10 accepted transitions
  - **Final temperature:** three successive temperatures without required number of accepted transitions

- ### Summary
- Non-directed change (random motion) permits escape from local optima and spurious states
  - Pseudo-temperature can be controlled to adjust relative degree of exploration and exploitation



### Hopfield Network for Task Assignment Problem

- Six tasks to be done (I, II, ..., VI)
- Six agents to do tasks (A, B, ..., F)
- They can do tasks at various rates
  - A (10, 5, 4, 6, 5, 1)
  - B (6, 4, 9, 7, 3, 2)
  - etc
- What is the optimal assignment of tasks to agents?



3/1/17 37

### Continuous Hopfield Net

$$\dot{U}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} V_j + I_i - \frac{U_i}{\tau}$$

$$V_i = \sigma(U_i) \in (0,1)$$

3/1/17 38



### NetLogo Implementation of Task Assignment Problem

[Run TaskAssignment.nlogo](#)

3/1/17 41

Part IV