

**Homework problems: (due 4/4)**

1. We will reuse the two Anthrax strains from Hw#3, the gold standard “Ames ancestor” that is virulent (NC\_007530) and the non-virulent lab strain “Ames” (NC\_003997). There will also be sample data for assembly (see below).
2. Visit the MUMmer (<http://mummer.sourceforge.net/>) and AMOS (<http://amos.sourceforge.net>) websites.
3. Read main pages to get a feel for the project, the players, and the goals. Specifically, focus on the sections/help on “nucmer” and on genome alignments in general for the MUMmer part of this homework. It is fine to use MUMmer2.x or MUMmer4.
4. Download and install the MUMmer package and AMOS 3.1.0 (click “Download” on the quick links). For this homework, you do not need to install Qt required for some of the visualization tools. Minimus will work without it.
5. Run nucmer on the two strains from #1 using default parameters and with the “Ames ancestor” as the reference. Submit the resulting “.delta” file (5 points).
6. Summarize SNPs and indels between these two strains using the “show-snps” utility with the “-C” option. Save the “.snps” file in your submission (5 points) HINT: You may want to also run mummerplot with the --filter option to help with the rest of this assignment or the more comprehensive “dnadiff”.
7. Submit a brief write up in your report summarizing the results. Hypothesize what the differences may be between the strains? Are there a lot of SNPs? Potential structural differences? (10 points)
8. Read the minimus documentation, and look at the example projects in amos3.1.0/test/minimus. Get a basic idea if the input, what the bank is, and perform a test assembly (influenza). Submit contigs for this assembly (5 points)
9. Download the test dataset from the course website and assemble it using minimus. Submit the resulting contigs (5 points)
10. Compare your minimus assembly to the reference available from the course webpage using nucmer. Submit the resulting delta file for the bacteria genome (5 points).
11. Provide a brief summary of the results (how many contigs, average contig size, etc.) for grading and do the same sort of comparisons as #7 (show-coords, show-snps, etc). Do you think these are good or bad assemblies and why? Submit this summary and any relevant supporting files (10 points)