

Editorial: Advances in Multi-Robot Systems

Tamio Arai, Enrico Pagello, Lynne E. Parker

Abstract— As research progresses in distributed robotic systems, more and more aspects of multi-robot systems are being explored. This special issue on Multi-Robot Systems provides a broad sampling of the research that is currently ongoing in the field of distributed mobile robot systems. To help categorize this research, we have identified seven primary research topics within multi-robot systems — biological inspirations, communication, architectures, localization/mapping/exploration, object transport and manipulation, motion coordination, and reconfigurable robots. This editorial examines these research areas and discusses the special issue articles in this context. We conclude by identifying several additional open research issues in distributed mobile robotic systems.

Index Terms— Distributed robotics, survey, cooperative robotics, multi-robot systems

I. INTRODUCTION

THE field of distributed robotics has its origins in the late 1980s, when several researchers began investigating issues in multiple mobile robot systems. Prior to this time, research had concentrated on either single robot systems or distributed problem-solving systems that did not involve robotic components. The topics of particular interest in this early distributed robotics work include:

- *Cellular (or reconfigurable) robot systems*, such as the work by Fukuda, *et al.* [32] on the Cellular Robotic System (CEBOT) and the work on cyclic swarms by Beni [18],
- *Multi-robot motion planning*, such as the work by Premvuti and Yuta [55] on traffic control and the work on movement in formations by Arai, *et al.* [2] and Wang [66], and
- *Architectures for multi-robot cooperation*, such as the work on ACTRESS by Asama, *et al.* [12].

Since this early research in distributed mobile robotics, the field has grown dramatically, with a much wider variety of topics being addressed. Collections of research in this area include the edited volumes by Balch and Parker [15] and Schultz and Parker [59], as well as the series of proceedings from the Symposia on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS) [8], [10], [11], [40], [54], [9]. Additionally, previous special journal issues have addressed the topic of multi-robot systems; two of particular interest have been published by the journal *Autonomous Robots* – a special issue on Robot Colonies [4], and

T. Arai is a Professor in the Department of Precision Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan (email: arai@prince.pe.u-tokyo.ac.jp).

E. Pagello is an Associate Professor of Computer Science in the Department of Information Engineering at The University of Padua, Padova, Italy (email: epv@dei.unipd.it).

L. E. Parker is an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer Science at The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA (email: parker@cs.utk.edu).

another on Heterogeneous Multi-Robot Systems [14]. However, a significant amount of new research has been achieved since these previous special issues, and thus this current special issue discusses many new developments in the field since these earlier publications.

Several new robotics application areas, such as underwater and space exploration, hazardous environments, service robotics in both public and private domains, the entertainment field, and so forth, can benefit from the use of multi-robot systems. In these challenging application domains, multi-robot systems can often deal with tasks that are difficult, if not impossible, to be accomplished by an individual robot. A team of robots may provide redundancy and contribute cooperatively to solve the assigned task, or they may perform the assigned task in a more reliable, faster, or cheaper way beyond what is possible with single robots.

The field of cooperative autonomous mobile robotics is still new enough that no topic area within this domain can be considered mature. Some areas have been explored more extensively, however, and the community is beginning to understand how to develop and control certain aspects of multi-robot teams. For example, the issue of balancing reactivity and social deliberation has been considered for both simulated and real multi-agent systems in the collection of papers edited by Hannebauer, Wendler, and Pagello [33]. Rather than to try to summarize the research articles in this special issue into a taxonomy of cooperative systems (see Dudek [29] and Cao [23] for previous related summaries), we instead organize this research by the principal topic areas that have generated significant levels of study, to the extent possible in a limited space. The seven principle topic areas of Multi-Robot Systems that we have identified are:

- Biological Inspirations;
- Communication;
- Architectures, task allocation, and control;
- Localization, mapping, and exploration;
- Object transport and manipulation;
- Motion coordination; and
- Reconfigurable robots.

Many of the articles in this special issue address more than one of these foundational problems in multi-robot systems. We therefore describe aspects of these articles as they apply to each of these key research areas. For context, we also discuss other key references and examples of prior research in each of these principle topic areas as we introduce this special issue. However, space does not allow an exhaustive treatment of each of these important research areas, and thus we cannot thoroughly review all the previous literature pertinent to this subject. We conclude this editorial by suggesting additional research issues that have not yet been extensively studied, but appear to be of growing interest and need in distributed autonomous multi-

robot systems.

II. BIOLOGICAL INSPIRATIONS

Nearly all of the work in cooperative mobile robotics began after the introduction of the new robotics paradigm of behavior-based control [20], [3]. This behavior-based paradigm has had a strong influence in much of the cooperative mobile robotics research. Because the behavior-based paradigm for mobile robotics is rooted in biological inspirations, many cooperative robotics researchers have also found it instructive to examine the social characteristics of insects and animals, and to apply these findings to the design of multi-robot systems.

The most common application of this knowledge is in the use of the simple local control rules of various biological societies – particularly ants, bees, and birds – to the development of similar behaviors in cooperative robot systems. Work in this vein has demonstrated the ability for multi-robot teams to flock, disperse, aggregate, forage, and follow trails (e.g., [44], [26], [28]). The application of the dynamics of ecosystems has also been applied to the development of multi-robot teams that demonstrate emergent cooperation as a result of acting on self-interests [46]. To some extent, cooperation in higher animals, such as wolf packs, has generated advances in cooperative control. Significant study in predator-prey systems has occurred, although primarily in simulation [17], [34]. An exception is the article in this special issue, entitled “Multi-Agent Probabilistic Pursuit-Evasion Games with Unmanned Ground and Aerial Vehicles”, by Vidal, Shakernia, Kim, Shim, and Sastry, which implements a pursuit-evasion task on a physical team of aerial and ground vehicles. They evaluate various pursuit policies relating expected capture times to the speed and intelligence of the evaders and the sensing capabilities of the pursuers.

Competition in multi-robot systems, such as that found in higher animals including humans, is being studied in domains such as multi-robot soccer. A previous special journal issue in *Artificial Intelligence on RoboCup* discusses many of the advances in this area; see [6] for a general overview of the field, and [49], [7], [61], [64], [5] for some particular examples of this research. Another series of books appears yearly in the Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence series on the topic of multi-robot soccer, beginning with [38]. Two articles in this special issue address multi-robot control issues in the multi-robot soccer domain. These articles are “Cooperative Probabilistic State Estimation for Vision-based Autonomous Mobile Robots”, by Schmitt, Hanek, Beetz, Buck, and Radig, and “CS Freiburg: Coordinating Robots for Successful Soccer Playing”, by Weigel, Gutmann, Dietl, Kleiner, and Nebel.

Many areas of biological inspiration and their applicability to multi-robot teams seem to be fairly well understood. More recently identified, less well understood, biological topics of relevance include the use of imitation in higher animals to learn new behaviors, and the physical interconnectivity demonstrated by insects such as ants to enable collective navigation over challenging terrains. One advance in this area is presented in the article in this issue entitled “Hormone-Inspired Adaptive Communication and Distributed control for CONRO Self-Reconfigurable Robots”, by Shen, Salemi, and Will. This article examines both the physical interconnectivity of modular

robots, as well as biological inspirations for how to maintain communication and collaboration in a distributed multi-robot network.

III. COMMUNICATION

The issue of communication in multi-robot teams has been extensively studied since the inception of distributed robotics research. Distinctions between implicit and explicit communication are usually made, in which implicit communication occurs as a side-effect of other actions, or “through the world” (see, for example [51]), whereas explicit communication is a specific act designed solely to convey information to other robots on the team. Several researchers have studied the effect of communication on the performance of multi-robot teams in a variety of tasks, and have concluded that communication provides certain benefit for particular types of tasks (e.g., [43], [16]). Additionally, these researchers have found that, in many cases, communication of even a small amount of information can lead to great benefit (e.g., [16]).

More recent work in multi-robot communication has focused on representations of languages and the grounding of these representations in the physical world [35], [36]. Additionally, work has extended to achieving fault tolerance in multi-robot communication, such as setting up and maintaining distributed communications networks [68] and ensuring reliability in multi-robot communications [48]. An important related aspect of multi-robot communication has been addressed in the article by Shen, Salemi, and Will in this issue, entitled “Hormone-Inspired Adaptive Communication and Distributed Control for CONRO Self-Reconfigurable Robots”, which examines the use of adaptive communication in modular, reconfigurable robotics. The challenge in these systems is to maintain communication even when connections between robots may change dynamically and unexpectedly. This article demonstrates one aspect of the recent progress that is being made in enabling multi-robot teams to operate reliably even amidst faulty communication environments.

Another article in this special issue, entitled “Performance of a Distributed Robotic System using Shared Communications Channels”, by Rybski, Stoeter, Gini, Hougen, and Papanikolopoulos, explores communications issues of teams of miniature robots that must use very low capacity RF communications due to their small size. They approach this issue through the use of process scheduling to share the available communications resources.

IV. ARCHITECTURES, TASK ALLOCATION, AND CONTROL

A great deal of research in distributed robotics has focused on the development of architectures, task planning capabilities, and control. This research area addresses the issues of action selection, delegation of authority and control, the communication structure, heterogeneity versus homogeneity of robots, achieving coherence amidst local actions, resolution of conflicts, and other related issues. Each architecture that has been developed for multi-robot teams tends to focus on providing a specific type of capability to the distributed robot team. Capabilities that have been of particular emphasis include task planning [1],

fault tolerance [52], swarm control [45], human design of mission plans [42], role assignment [62], [22], [50], and so forth.

The article entitled “Performance of a Distributed Robotic Systems Using Shared Communications Channels”, by Rybski, Stoeter, Gini, Hougen, and Papanikolopoulos, presents a software architecture for the control of a set of miniature robots, called Scouts. The architecture for this system is constrained by the limited computational capabilities of the miniature robots, leading to a proxy-processing scheme enabling robots to use remote computers for their computing needs. They present a resource allocation system that dynamically assigns resources to each robot to maximize the utilization of resources while also maintaining given behavior priorities. They present experimental results of their approach using their Scout robot team.

The architecture design challenge is also addressed in this special issue in the article by Nakamura, Ota, and Arai, entitled “Human-Supervised Multiple Mobile Robot System”. This article presents a flexible command and monitoring structure that enables a human operator to work with a team of mobile robots. Four levels of control are defined, including the robot control level, the group level, the object control level, and the task control level. The effectiveness of their approach is illustrated in a transportation task using several mobile robots.

Another article in this special issue, entitled “Emotion-Based Control of Cooperating Heterogeneous Mobile Robots”, by Murphy, Lisetti, Irish, Tardif, and Gage, presents a hybrid deliberative/reactive architecture that uses a computational model of emotions to modify active behaviors at the sensory-motor level and change the set of active behaviors at the schematic level. The emotion-based control enables the team to demonstrate the desired societal behavior without any centralized planning and with minimal communication. They illustrate their results on physical robots operating in public venues.

The task allocation issue is also addressed in the article entitled “Sold!: Market Methods for Multi-Robot Control”, by Gerkey and Mataric. This article presents an approach for dynamic task allocation using a resource-centric negotiation strategy to produce a distributed approximation to a global optimum of resource usage. They present validations of their approach in physical robot experiments in object pushing and in loosely-coupled task selection.

The article by Miyata, Ota, Arai, and Asama, entitled “Cooperative Transport by Multiple Mobile Robots in Unknown Static Environments Associated with Real-time Task-Assignment”, addresses the development of a task assignment architecture for multiple mobile robots. The architecture deals with multiple tasks that must be accomplished in real-time, in applications that consist of a large number of tasks relative to the number of available robots. They present an approach that involves two real-time planners: a priority-based task-assignment planner and a motion planner. They illustrate their approach in a cooperative transport task in simulation.

Vidal, Shakernia, Kim, Shim, and Sastry address multi-agent control architectures for teams of ground and aerial vehicles in their article entitled “Multi-Agent Probabilistic Pursuit-Evasion Games with Unmanned Ground and Aerial Vehicles”. The goal of their research is the integration of multiple autonomous heterogeneous robots into a coordinated system that is modular,

scalable, fault tolerant, adaptive, and efficient. They present a hybrid hierarchical system architecture that segments the control of each agent into different layers of abstraction. These layers of abstraction allow interoperability in heterogeneous robot teams. They illustrate the effectiveness of this approach in a pursuit-evasion application.

The architecture, task allocation, and control issue is addressed by the article entitled “CS Freiburg: Coordinating Robots for Successful Soccer Playing”, by Weigel, Gutmann, Dietl, Kleiner, and Nebel. This article presents a multi-agent coordination architecture to enable robot teams to play RoboCup soccer. They use role assignments and an action selection module based on extended behavior networks to enable robots to cooperate in this domain. They present results of their approach from their RoboCup soccer experiences.

V. LOCALIZATION, MAPPING, AND EXPLORATION

An extensive amount of research has been carried out in the area of localization, mapping, and exploration for single autonomous robots. Only fairly recently has much of this work been applied to multi-robot teams. Almost all of the work has been aimed at 2D environments. Initially, most of this research took an existing algorithm developed for single robot mapping, localization, or exploration, and extended it to multiple robots. More recently, researchers have developed new algorithms that are fundamentally distributed. One example of this work is given in [31], which takes advantage of multiple robots to improve positioning accuracy beyond what is possible with single robots. Another example is an article in this special issue entitled “Distributed Multi-Robot Localization”, by Roumeliotis and Bekey. This article presents a decentralized Kalman-filter based approach to enable a group of mobile robots to simultaneously localize by sensing their teammates and combining positioning information from all the team members. They illustrate the effectiveness of their approach through application on a team of three physical robots.

An additional article in this special issue examines vision-based localization in multi-robot teams. This article, entitled “Cooperative Probabilistic State Estimation for Vision-Based Autonomous Mobile Robots”, by Schmitt, Hanek, Beetz, Buck, and Radig, develops and analyzes a probabilistic, vision-based state estimation method that enables robot team members to estimate their joint positions in a known environment. Their approach also enables robot team members to track positions of autonomously moving objects. They illustrate their approach on physical robots in the multi-robot soccer domain.

As is the case with single robot approaches to localization, mapping, and exploration, research into the multi-robot version can be described using the familiar categories based on the use of landmarks [25], scan-matching [21], and/or graphs [56], and which use either range sensors (such as sonar or laser) or vision sensors. The article entitled “LOST: Localization-Space Trails for Robot Teams”, by Vaughan, Stoy, Sukhatme, and Mataric, presents an algorithm enabling a robot team to navigate between places of interest in an initially unknown environment by using a trail of waypoint landmarks. They illustrate that their approach copes with accumulating odometry error, is robust to

the failure of individual robots, and converges to the best route discovered by any robot on the team.

VI. OBJECT TRANSPORT AND MANIPULATION

Enabling multiple robots to cooperatively carry, push, or manipulate common objects has been a long-standing, yet difficult, goal of multi-robot systems. Many research projects have dealt with this topic area; fewer of these projects have been demonstrated on physical robot systems. This research area has a number of practical applications that make it of particular interest for study.

Numerous variations on this task area have been studied, including constrained and unconstrained motions, two-robot teams versus “swarm”-type teams, compliant versus non-compliant grasping mechanisms, cluttered versus uncluttered environments, global system models versus distributed models, and so forth. Perhaps the most demonstrated task involving cooperative transport is the pushing of objects by multi-robot teams [57], [60]. This task seems inherently easier than the carry task, in which multiple robots must grip common objects and navigate to a destination in a coordinated fashion [67], [37]. A novel form of multi-robot transportation that has been demonstrated is the use of ropes wrapped around objects to move them along desired trajectories [27].

An article in this special issue, entitled “Cooperative Transport by Multiple Mobile Robots in Unknown Static Environments Associated with Real-time Task-Assignment”, by Miyata, Ota, Arai, and Asama, explores the cooperative transport task by multiple mobile robots in an unknown static environment. Their approach enables robot team members to displace objects that are interfering with the transport task, and to cooperatively push objects to a destination. They illustrate their results both in simulation and using a team of two physical robots.

The article by Das, Fierro, Kumar, Ostrowski, Spletzer, and Taylor, entitled “A Framework for Vision Based Formation Control”, presents a novel approach for cooperative manipulation that is based on formation control. Their approach enables robot teams to cooperatively manipulate obstacles by trapping them inside the multi-robot formation. They demonstrate their results on a team of three physical robots.

VII. MOTION COORDINATION

Another popular topic of study in multi-robot teams is that of motion coordination. Research themes in this domain that have been particularly well studied include multi-robot path planning [63], [41], [30], [69], traffic control [55], formation generation [2], and formation keeping [13], [66]. Most of these issues are now fairly well understood, although demonstration of these techniques in physical multi-robot teams (rather than in simulation) has been limited. More recent issues studied within the motion coordination context are target tracking [53], target search [39], and multi-robot docking [47] behaviors. The motion coordination problem in the form of path planning for multiple robots is addressed in this special issue by Saptharishi, Oliver, Diehl, Bhat, Dolan, Trebi-Ollennu, and Khosla in the article entitled “Distributed Surveillance and Reconnaissance

Using Multiple Autonomous ATVs: CyberScout”. In this paper, an approach is presented that performs path planning via checkpoint and dynamic priority assignment using statistical estimates of the environment’s motion structure. Additionally, they explore the issue of vision-based surveillance to track multiple moving objects in a cluttered scene. The results of their approaches are illustrated using a variety of experiments.

With the increased interest in reconfigurable robotics, another important issue in motion coordination is the generation of cooperative gaits using modular robot systems. The article in this issue by Shen, Salemi, and Will, entitled “Hormone-Inspired Adaptive Communication and Distributed Control for CONRO Self-Reconfigurable Robots” addresses some issues in motion coordination for reconfigurable robots, illustrating the achievement of gaits that include the caterpillar move, a legged walk, and a rolling track.

Formation control has been a popular topic of multi-robot systems for many years. The article by Fredslund and Mataric in this special issue, entitled “A General Algorithm for Robot Formation Using Local Sensing and Minimal Communication”, addresses the problem of achieving formation controls using only local sensing and interaction. Their key idea is to have each robot maintain another specific robot, called a *friend*, within its field of view, at a desired viewing angle. They illustrate the ability of this approach to produce a variety of formations, including diamond, triangle, arrowhead, wedge, and hexagon. Their results are illustrated through experiments on physical and simulated robot teams.

An advancement in the analysis of motion coordination in multi-robot teams is the development of provable theorems that characterize the cooperative performance of team formations under certain conditions. The article by Das, Fierro, Kumar, Ostrowski, Spletzer, and Taylor, entitled “A Framework for Vision Based Formation Control”, examines motion control by developing a novel framework for controlling and coordinating a group of nonholonomic mobile robots. Their approach allows robot teams to accomplish diverse tasks such as scouting and reconnaissance and distributed manipulation using vision based formation control. They show how their approach guarantees stability and convergence in a wide range of tasks, and illustrate their results on a platform of three nonholonomic robots. An additional article in this special issue by Ogren, Egerstedt, and Hu, entitled “A Control Lyapunov Function Approach to Multi-Agent Coordination”, addresses the class of multi-robot teams for which control Lyapunov functions can be found. Their results yield an abstract and theoretically sound coordination strategy for formation control in multi-robot teams. Another paper in this special issue, entitled “Decentralized Control of Cooperative Robotic Vehicles: Theory and Application”, by Feddema, Lewis, and Schoenwald, describes how decentralized control theory can be used to analyze the motion coordination performance of multiple mobile robots. They illustrate the results of their theory through several examples of distribution motion coordination on physical robots performing applications such as perimeter security.

VIII. RECONFIGURABLE ROBOTICS

Even though some of the earliest research in distributed robotics focused on concepts for reconfigurable distributed systems [32], [18], relatively little work has proceeded in this area until the last few years. More recent work has resulted in a number of actual physical robot systems that are able to reconfigure. The motivation of this work is to achieve function from shape, allowing individual modules, or robots, to connect and re-connect in various ways to generate a desired shape to serve a needed function. These systems have the theoretical capability of showing great robustness, versatility, and even self-repair.

Most of the work in this area involves identical modules with interconnection mechanisms that allow either manual or automatic reconfiguration. These systems have been demonstrated to form into various navigation configurations, including a rolling track motion [70], an earthworm or snake motion [70], [24], and a spider or hexapod motion [70], [24]. Some systems employ a cube-type arrangement, with modules able to connect in various ways to form matrices or lattices for specific functions [19], [71], [58], [65]. An important example of this research is the article in this special issue by Shen, Salemi, and Will, entitled "Hormone-Inspired Adaptive Communication and Distributed Control for CONRO Self-Reconfigurable Robots". This article presents a biologically inspired approach for adaptive communication in self-reconfigurable and dynamic networks, as well as physical module reconfiguration for accomplishing global effects such as locomotion. They demonstrate their results in the context of the CONRO modules that they have developed.

Research in this area is still very young, and most of the systems developed are not yet able to perform beyond laboratory experiments. While the potential of large numbers of robot modules has been demonstrated in simulation, it is still uncommon to have implementations involving more than a dozen or so physical modules. The practical application of these systems is yet to be demonstrated, although progress is being made in that direction. Clearly, this is a rich area for continuing advances in multi-robot systems.

IX. ADDITIONAL OPEN ISSUES IN DISTRIBUTED AUTONOMOUS MOBILE ROBOTICS

It is clear that since the inception of the field of distributed autonomous mobile robotics less than two decades ago, significant progress has been made on a number of important issues. The field has a good understanding of the biological parallels that can be drawn, the use of communication in multi-robot teams, and the design of architectures for multi-robot control. Considerable progress has been made in multi-robot localization/mapping/exploration, cooperative object transport, and motion coordination. Recent progress is beginning to advance the areas of reconfigurable robotics and multi-robot learning. Of course, all of these areas have not yet been fully studied. Several other research challenges still remain, including:

- How do we identify and quantify the fundamental advantages and characteristics of multi-robot systems?
- How do we easily enable humans to control multi-robot teams?

- Can we scale up to demonstrations involving more than a dozen or so robots?
- Is passive action recognition in multi-robot teams possible?
- How can we enable physical multi-robot systems to work under hard real-time constraints?
- How does the complexity of the task and of the environment affect the design of multi-robot systems?

These and other issues in multi-robot cooperation should keep the research community busy for many years to come.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alami, S. Fleury, M. Herrb, F. Ingrand, and F. Robert. Multi-robot cooperation in the Martha project. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine*, 1997.
- [2] T. Arai, H. Ogata, and T. Suzuki. Collision avoidance among multiple robots using virtual impedance. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 479–485, 1989.
- [3] R. C. Arkin. Integrating behavioral, perceptual, and world knowledge in reactive navigation. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 6:105–122, 1990.
- [4] R. C. Arkin and G. A. Bekey (guest editors). Special issue on Robot Colonies. *Autonomous Robots*, 4(1):1–153, March 1997.
- [5] M. Asada, R. D'Andrea, A. Birk, H. Kitano, and M. Veloso. Robotics in edutainment. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA-2000)*, pages 795–800, San Francisco, 2000.
- [6] M. Asada, H. Kitano, I. Noda, and M. Veloso. RoboCup: Today and tomorrow – what we have learned. *Artificial Intelligence*, 110(2):193–214, June 1999.
- [7] M. Asada, E. Uchibe, and K. Hosoda. Cooperative behavior acquisition for mobile robots in dynamically changing real worlds via vision-based reinforcement learning and development. *Artificial Intelligence*, 110(2):275–292, June 1999.
- [8] H. Asama, editor. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, Wako, Saitama, Japan, September 1992.
- [9] H. Asama, T. Arai, T. Fukuda, and T. Hasegawa, editors. *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 6*. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [10] H. Asama, T. Fukuda, T. Arai, and I. Endo, editors. *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [11] H. Asama, T. Fukuda, T. Arai, and I. Endo, editors. *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 2*. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [12] H. Asama, A. Matsumoto, and Y. Ishida. Design of an autonomous and distributed robot system: ACTRESS. In *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 283–290, Tsukuba, Japan, 1989.
- [13] T. Balch and R. Arkin. Behavior-based formation control for multi-robot teams. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, December 1998.
- [14] T. Balch and L. E. Parker (guest editors). Special issue on Heterogeneous Multi-Robot Systems. *Autonomous Robots*, 8(3):207–383, June 2000.
- [15] T. Balch and L. E. Parker, editors. *Robot Teams: From Polymorphism to Diversity*. A K Peters, 2002.
- [16] T. R. Balch and R. C. Arkin. Communication in reactive multiagent robotic systems. *Autonomous Robots*, 1(1):1–25, 1994.
- [17] M. Benda, V. Jagannathan, and R. Dodhiawalla. On optimal cooperation of knowledge sources. Technical Report BCS-G2010-28, Boeing AI Center, August 1985.
- [18] G. Beni. The concept of cellular robot. In *Proceedings of Third IEEE Symposium on Intelligent Control*, pages 57–61, Arlington, Virginia, 1988.
- [19] H. Bojinov, A. Casal, and T. Hogg. Emergent structures in modular self-reconfigurable robots. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 1734–1741, 2000.
- [20] R. A. Brooks. A robust layered control system for a mobile robot. *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, RA-2(1):14–23, March 1986.
- [21] W. Burgard, M. Moors, D. Fox, R. Simmons, and S. Thrun. Collaborative multi-robot exploration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 476–481, 2000.
- [22] C. Candea, H. Hu, L. Iocchi, D. Nardi, and M. Piaggio. Coordinating in multi-agent robocup teams. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 36(2-3):67–86, August 2001.
- [23] Y. Cao, A. Fukunaga, and A. Kahng. Cooperative Mobile Robotics: Antecedents and Directions. *Autonomous Robots*, 4:1–23, 1997.

- [24] A. Castano, R. Chokkalingam, and P. Will. Autonomous and self-sufficient CONRO modules for reconfigurable robots. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 155–164, 2000.
- [25] G. Dedeoglu and G. Sukhatme. Landmark-based matching algorithm for cooperative mapping by autonomous robots. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 251–260, 2000.
- [26] J. Deneubourg, S. Goss, G. Sandini, F. Ferrari, and P. Dario. Self-organizing collection and transport of objects in unpredictable environments. In *Japan-U.S.A. Symposium on Flexible Automation*, pages 1093–1098, Kyoto, Japan, 1990.
- [27] B. Donald, L. Garipey, and D. Rus. Distributed manipulation of multiple objects using ropes. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 450–457, 2000.
- [28] A. Drogoul and J. Ferber. From Tom Thumb to the Dockers: Some experiments with foraging robots. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, pages 451–459, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1992.
- [29] G. Dudek, M. Jenkin, E. Milios, and D. Wilkes. A taxonomy for multi-agent robotics. *Autonomous Robots*, 3:375–397, 1996.
- [30] C. Ferrari, E. Pagello, J. Ota, and T. Arai. Multirobot motion coordination in space and time. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 25:219–229, 1998.
- [31] D. Fox, W. Burgard, H. Kruppa, and S. Thrun. Collaborative multi-robot exploration. *Autonomous Robots*, 8(3):325–344, 2000.
- [32] T. Fukuda and S. Nakagawa. A dynamically reconfigurable robotic system (concept of a system and optimal configurations). In *Proceedings of IECON*, pages 588–595, 1987.
- [33] M. Hannebauer, J. Wendler, and E. Pagello, editors. *Balancing Reactivity and Social Deliberation in Multi-Agent Systems: From RoboCup to Real-World Applications*, volume LNAI No. 2103. Springer, 2001.
- [34] T. Haynes and S. Sen. Evolving behavioral strategies in predators and prey. In Gerard Weiss and Sandip Sen, editors, *Adaptation and Learning in Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 113–126. Springer, 1986.
- [35] L. Hugues. Collective grounded representations for robots. In *Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 79–88, 2000.
- [36] D. Jung and A. Zelinsky. Grounded symbolic communication between heterogeneous cooperating robots. *Autonomous Robots*, 8(3):269–292, July 2000.
- [37] O. Khatib, K. Yokoi, K. Chang, D. Ruspini, R. Holmberg, and A. Casal. Vehicle/arm coordination and mobile manipulator decentralized cooperation. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 546–553, 1996.
- [38] H. Kitano, editor. *RoboCup-97: Robot Soccer World Cup I*, volume Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 1395. Springer, September 1998.
- [39] S. M. LaValle, D. Lin, L. J. Guibas, J.-C. Latombe, and R. Motwani. Finding an unpredictable target in a workspace with obstacles. In *Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA-97)*, pages 737–742, 1997.
- [40] T. Leuth, editor. *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 3*. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [41] V. J. Lumelsky and K. R. Harinarayan. Decentralized motion planning for multiple mobile robots: The cocktail party model. *Autonomous Robots*, 4(1):121–136, 1997.
- [42] D. MacKenzie, R. Arkin, and J. Cameron. Multiagent mission specification and execution. *Autonomous Robots*, 4(1):29–52, 1997.
- [43] B. MacLennan. Synthetic ethology: An approach to the study of communication. In *Proceedings of the 2nd interdisciplinary workshop on synthesis and simulation of living systems*, pages 631–658, 1991.
- [44] M. J. Mataric. Designing emergent behaviors: From local interactions to collective intelligence. In J. Meyer, H. Roitblat, and S. Wilson, editors, *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, pages 432–441, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1992. MIT Press.
- [45] M. J. Mataric. *Interaction and Intelligent Behavior*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1994.
- [46] D. McFarland. Towards robot cooperation. In D. Cliff, P. Husbands, J.-A. Meyer, and S. Wilson, editors, *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior*, pages 440–444. MIT Press, 1994.
- [47] B. Minten, R. Murphy, J. Hyams, and M. Micire. A communication-free behavior for docking mobile robots. In *Proceedings of Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 357–367, 2000.
- [48] P. Molnar and J. Starke. Communication fault tolerance in distributed robotic systems. In *Proceedings of Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 99–108, 2000.
- [49] I. Noda, S. Suzuki, H. Matsubara, M. Asada, and H. Kitano. RoboCup-97: The first robot world cup soccer games and conferences. *AI Magazine*, 19(3):49–59, Fall 1998.
- [50] E. Pagello, A. D’Angelo, C. Ferrari, R. Polesel, R. Rosati, and A. Speranzon. Emergent behaviors of a robot team performing cooperative tasks. *Advanced Robotics*, 2002 (to appear).
- [51] E. Pagello, A. D’Angelo, F. Montesello, F. Garelli, and C. Ferrari. Cooperative behaviors in multi-robot systems through implicit communication. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 29(1):65–77, 1999.
- [52] L. E. Parker. ALLIANCE: An architecture for fault-tolerant multi-robot cooperation. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 14(2):220–240, 1998.
- [53] L. E. Parker and C. Touzet. Multi-robot learning in a cooperative observation task. In *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 4*, pages 391–401. Springer, 2000.
- [54] L.E. Parker, G. Bekey, and J. Barhen, editors. *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems 4*. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [55] S. Premvuti and S. Yuta. Consideration on the cooperation of multiple autonomous mobile robots. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop of Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 59–63, Tsuchiura, Japan, 1990.
- [56] I. Rekleitis, G. Dudek, and E. Milios. Graph-based exploration using multiple robots. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 241–250, 2000.
- [57] D. Rus, B. Donald, and J. Jennings. Moving furniture with teams of autonomous robots. In *Proceedings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 235–242, 1995.
- [58] D. Rus and M. Vona. A physical implementation of the self-reconfiguring crystalline robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 1726–1733, 2000.
- [59] A. Schultz and L. E. Parker, editors. *Multi-Robot Systems: From Swarms to Intelligent Automata*. Kluwer, 2002.
- [60] D. Stilwell and J. Bay. Toward the development of a material transport system using swarms of ant-like robots. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 766–771, Atlanta, GA, 1993.
- [61] P. Stone and M. Veloso. A layered approach to learning client behaviors in the robocup soccer server. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 12:165–188, 1998.
- [62] P. Stone and M. Veloso. Task decomposition, dynamic role assignment, and low-bandwidth communication for real-time strategic teamwork. *Artificial Intelligence*, 110(2):241–273, June 1999.
- [63] P. Svestka and M. H. Overmars. Coordinated path planning for multiple robots. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 23(3):125–152, 1998.
- [64] M. Tambe, M. Adibi, Y. Al-Onaizan, A. Erdem, G. A. Kaminka, S. Marsella, and I. Muslea. Building agent teams using an explicit teamwork model and learning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 110(2):215–239, June 1999.
- [65] C. Unsal and P. K. Khosla. Mechatronic design of a modular self-reconfiguring robotic system. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 1742–1747, 2000.
- [66] P. K. C. Wang. Navigation strategies for multiple autonomous mobile robots. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 486–493, 1989.
- [67] Z. Wang, Y. Kimura, T. Takahashi, and E. Nakano. A control method of a multiple non-holonomic robot system for cooperative object transportation. In *Proceedings of Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 447–456, 2000.
- [68] A. Winfield. Distributed sensing and data collection via broken ad hoc wireless connected networks of mobile robots. In *Proceedings of Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 273–282, 2000.
- [69] A. Yamashita, M. Fukuchi, J. Ota, T. Arai, and H. Asama. Motion planning for cooperative transportation of a large object by multiple mobile robots in a 3D environment. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 3144–3151, 2000.
- [70] M. Yim, D. G. Duff, and K. D. Roufas. Polybot: a modular reconfigurable robot. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 514–520, 2000.
- [71] E. Yoshida, S. Murata, S. Kokaji, and K. Tomita dn H. Kurokawa. Micro self-reconfigurable robotic system using shape memory alloy. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000)*, pages 145–154, 2000.

Author Biographies

Tamio Arai: Tamio Arai graduated at the University of Tokyo in 1970 and obtained the Doctor of Engineering degree from the same university. In 1987 he became Professor in the Department of Precision Engineering, University of Tokyo. He worked in the Department of Artificial Intelligence at Edinburgh University as a visiting researcher from 1979 to 1981. His specialties are assembly and robotics, and in particular multiple mobile robots, including the Legged Robot League of RoboCup. Dr. Arai was the general chair of 4th International Symposium on Assembly and Task Planning in May 2001, and program co-chairs of IROS88 and IROS96. He works in IMS programmes in Holonic Manufacturing Systems. He has contributed to robot software in ISO activities. He is an active member of CIRP, a member of the IEEE Robotics Society of Japan, Japan Society for Precision Engineering and Honorary President of Japan Association for Automation Advancement. Since 2000, he has been a director of Research into Artifacts, Center for Engineering, at the University of Tokyo, and proposes a new field of engineering, called Service Engineering.

Enrico Pagello: Enrico Pagello received his "Laurea" on Electronics Engineering at the University of Padua in 1973. From 1973 until 1983, he was a Research Associate at the Institute on System Science and Biomedical Engineering of the National Research Council of Italy, where now he is a part-time collaborator. Since 1983 he has been an Associate Professor of Computer Science at the Department of Information Engineering of the University of Padua. During 1977-1978, he was a Visiting Scholar at the Laboratory of Artificial Intelligence of Stanford University. Since 1994 he has visited regularly in the Department of Precision Engineering of the University of Tokyo, in the framework of a joint scientific agreement between Padua and Tokyo Universities. Dr. Pagello was the General Chair of the Sixth International Conference on Intelligent Autonomous Systems in July 2000. He has been a member of the Editorial Board of the IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, and is currently a member of the Editorial Board of Robotics and Autonomous Systems. He is a Vice-president of the RoboCup International Federation, and is a General Chairman of RoboCup-2003, which will be held in Padua in July 2003. His current research interests are in applying artificial intelligence to robotics with particular emphasis in the multi-robot systems area.

Lynne E. Parker: Lynne Parker received her Ph.D. degree in computer science in 1994 from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, performing her research on cooperative control algorithms for multi-robot systems in MIT's Artificial Intelligence Laboratory. She joined the faculty of the Department of Computer Science at The University of Tennessee as Associate Professor in August 2002, where she founded the Distributed Intelligence Laboratory. She also holds an appointment as Adjunct Distinguished Research and Development Staff Member in the Computer Science and Mathematics Division at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, where she worked as a full time researcher for several years. She was awarded the 2000 Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers for her research in multi-robot systems, and is the author of three edited volumes on the topic of multi-robot systems. Dr. Parker serves

on numerous international conference program committees and was the primary conference organizer and program committee chair for the IEEE-sponsored 5th International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2000) in October 2000. She is co-organizing (with Alan Schultz) the Second International Workshop on Multi-Robot Systems to be held at the Naval Research Laboratory in March 2003. Her current research interests are in distributed robotics and artificial intelligence.