Today: – Matrix Chain Multiplication

COSC 581, Algorithms January 23, 2014

Reading Assignments

- Today's class:
 - Chapter 15.2

Reading assignment for next class:
 – Chapter 15.3-15.4

- Given some matrices to multiply, determine the *best* order to multiply them so you minimize the number of single element multiplications.
 - i.e., Determine the way the matrices are fully parenthesized.
- First, it should be noted that matrix multiplication is associative, but not commutative. But since it is associative, we always have:
- ((AB)(CD)) = (A(B(CD))), or any other grouping as long as the matrices are in the same consecutive order.
- BUT NOT: ((AB)(CD)) = ((BA)(DC))

- It may appear that the amount of work done won't change if you change the parenthesization of the expression, but we can prove that is not the case!
- FIRST, remember some matrix multiplication rules... To multiply matrix A, which is size p x q with matrix B, which is size q x r

The resulting matrix is of what size?

- It may appear that the amount of work done won't change if you change the parenthesization of the expression, but we can prove that is not the case!
- FIRST, remember some matrix multiplication rules... To multiply matrix A, which is size p x q with matrix B, which is size q x r

The resulting matrix is of what size? p x r

Number of scalar multiplications needed?

- It may appear that the amount of work done won't change if you change the parenthesization of the expression, but we can prove that is not the case!
- FIRST, remember some matrix multiplication rules... To multiply matrix A, which is size p x q with matrix B, which is size q x r

The resulting matrix is of what size? p x r

Number of scalar multiplications needed? p x q x r

- Let us examine the following example:
 - Let A be a 2x10 matrix
 - Let B be a 10x50 matrix
 - Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- We will show that the way we group matrices when multiplying A, B, C can greatly affect number of required scalar multiplications:

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) = 2x10x20 = 400
 - Total multiplications =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) = 2x10x20 = 400
 - Total multiplications = 10000 + 400 = 10400.
- Consider computing (AB)C:
 - # multiplications for (AB) =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) = 2x10x20 = 400
 - Total multiplications = 10000 + 400 = 10400.
- Consider computing (AB)C:
 - # multiplications for (AB) = 2x10x50 = 1000, creating a 2x50 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for (AB)C =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) = 2x10x20 = 400
 - Total multiplications = 10000 + 400 = 10400.
- Consider computing (AB)C:
 - # multiplications for (AB) = 2x10x50 = 1000, creating a 2x50 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for (AB)C = 2x50x20 = 2000,
 - Total multiplications =

- Let A be a 2x10 matrix
- Let B be a 10x50 matrix
- Let C be a 50x20 matrix
- Consider computing A(BC):
 - # multiplications for (BC) = 10x50x20 = 10000, creating a 10x20 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for A(BC) = 2x10x20 = 400
 - Total multiplications = 10000 + 400 = 10400.
- Consider computing (AB)C:
 - # multiplications for (AB) = 2x10x50 = 1000, creating a 2x50 answer matrix
 - # multiplications for (AB)C = 2x50x20 = 2000
 - Total multiplications = 1000 + 2000 = 3000

- Thus, our goal today is:
- Given a chain of matrices to multiply, determine the fewest number of scalar multiplications necessary to compute the product.
- Note: we don't actually need to compute the multiplication – just the ordering of the multiplications

How Many Possible Parenthesizations?

- For n ≥ 2, a fully parenthesized matrix product is the product of 2 fully parenthesized matrix subproducts.
- The split can occur between kth and (k+1)th matrices, for any k = 1, 2, ..., n-1
- So, the recurrence representing the total # of possible parenthesizations is:

$$-P(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k) P(n-k) & \text{if } n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

- Solution is tricky -- turns out, it grows as $\Omega\left(\frac{4^n}{n^{3/2}}\right)$
- Or, also true that it grows as $\Omega(2^n)$

- Formal Definition of the problem:
 - Let $A = A_1 \bullet A_2 \bullet \dots A_n$
 - And let $p_{i-1} \times p_i$ denote the dimensions of matrix A_i .
 - We must find the minimal number of scalar multiplications necessary to calculate A
 - assuming that each single matrix multiplication uses the simple "standard" (9th grade ☺) method.

Recall:

The Primary Steps of Dynamic Programming

- 1. Characterize the structure of an optimal solution.
- 2. Recursively define the value of an optimal solution.
- 3. Compute the value of an optimal solution in a bottom up fashion.
- 4. Construct an optimal solution from computed information.

Step 1: Optimal Substructure

- The key to solving this problem is noticing the *optimal substructure*:
 - If a particular parenthesization of the whole product is optimal, then any sub-parenthesization in that product is optimal as well.
- Or, stating the same thing through an example:
 - *If* (A (B ((CD) (EF)))) is optimal
 - Then (B ((CD) (EF))) is optimal as well
 - Illustration of Proof on the next slide...

Optimal Substructure

• Assume that we are calculating ABCDEF and that the following parenthesization is optimal:

(A (B ((CD) (EF))))
Then it is necessarily the case that
(B ((CD) (EF)))
is the optimal parenthesization of BCDEF.

• Why is this?

- Because if it weren't, and, say, (((BC) (DE)) F) were better, then it would also follow that
 (A (((BC) (DE)) F)) was better than
 - (A (B ((CD) (EF)))),
- contradicting its optimality!

Optimal Substructure



- Our final multiplication will ALWAYS be of the form
 (A₁ A₂ ... A_k) (A_{k+1} A_{k+2} ... A_n)
- In essence, there is exactly one value of k for which we should "split" our work into two separate cases so that we get an optimal result.
 - Here is a list of the cases to choose from:

$$- (A_{1}) \bullet (A_{2} \bullet A_{3} \bullet \dots A_{n})
- (A_{1} \bullet A_{2}) \bullet (A_{3} \bullet A_{4} \bullet \dots A_{n})
- (A_{1} \bullet A_{2} \bullet A_{3}) \bullet (A_{4} \bullet A_{5} \bullet \dots A_{n})
- \dots
- (A_{1} \bullet A_{2} \bullet \dots A_{n-2}) \bullet (A_{n-1} \bullet A_{n})
- (A_{1} \bullet A_{2} \bullet \dots A_{n-1}) \bullet (A_{n})$$

- Basically, count the number of multiplications in each of these choices and **pick the minimum**.
 - One other point to notice is that you have to account for the minimum number of multiplications in each of the two products.

- Consider the case multiplying these 4 matrices:
 - A: 2x4
 - B: 4x2
 - C: 2x3
 - D: 3x1
- 1. (A)(BCD) This is a 2x4 multiplied by a 4x1,
 - so 2x4x1 = 8 multiplications, plus whatever work it will take to multiply (BCD).
- 2. (AB)(CD) This is a 2x2 multiplied by a 2x1,
 - so 2x2x1 = 4 multiplications, plus whatever work it will take to multiply (AB) and (CD).
- 3. (ABC)(D) This is a 2x3 multiplied by a 3x1,
 - so 2x3x1 = 6 multiplications, plus whatever work it will take to multiply (ABC).

Step 2: A recursive solution

- Define m[i, j] = minimum number of scalar multiplications needed to compute the matrix $A_{i..j} = A_i A_{i+1} \dots A_j$
- Goal m[1, n] (i.e., $A_{1..n} = A_1A_2 \dots A_n$)

$$m[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{i \le k < j} \{m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$

• Since m[i,j] only gives value of optimal solution, we also define s[i,j] to be a value of k at which we split the product $A_{i..j} = A_i A_{i+2} \dots A_j$ in an optimal parenthesization

Step 3: Computing the Optimal Costs

 $m[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{i \le k < j} \{m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$

- Now let's turn this recursive formula into a dynamic programming solution
 - Which sub-problems are necessary to solve first?
 - Clearly it's necessary to solve the smaller problems before the larger ones.
 - Here "smaller" means shorter matrix chains
 - So, solve for matrix chains of length 1, then of length 2, ...

Step 3: Computing the optimal costs

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER (p)



14 **return** *m* and *s*

Step 3: Computing the optimal costs

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER (p)



Step 3: Computing the optimal costs

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER (p)



Example m and s tables computed by MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER for n=6



Step 4:

Constructing an optimal solution

```
PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, i, j)

1 if i == j

2 print "A"<sub>i</sub>

3 else print "("

4 PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, i, s[i, j])

5 PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, s[i, j] + 1, j)

6 print ")"
```

Step 4: Constructing an optimal solution

PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, i, j)

- 1 **if** *i* == *j*
- 2 print "A"_i
- 3 else print "("
- 4 **PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS**(s, i, s[i, j])
- 5 PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, s[i, j] + 1, j)6 print ")"



Example: $A_1 \cdots A_6$

Step 4: Constructing an optimal solution

PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, i, j)

- 1 **if** *i* == *j*
- 2 print "A"_i
- 3 else print "("
- 4 **PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS**(s, i, s[i, j])
- 5 PRINT-OPTIMAL-PARENS(s, s[i, j] + 1, j)6 print ")"



Example: $A_1 \cdots A_6$

 $(A_1(A_2A_3))((A_4A_5)(A_6))$

In-Class Exercise

 Describe a dynamic programming algorithm to find the maximum product of a contiguous sequence of positive numbers A[1..n].

For example, if A = (0.1, 17, 1, 5, 0.5, 0.2, 4, 0.7, 0.02, 12, 0.3), then the answer would be 85 because of the subsequence (17, 1, 5)

Reading Assignments

- Today's class:
 - Chapter 15.2

Reading assignment for next class:
 – Chapter 15.3-15.4