ADVERSARIAL SEARCH

Chapter 5

"... every game of skill is susceptible of being played by an automaton." from Charles Babbage, *The Life of a Philosopher*, 1832.

Outline

- $\diamondsuit \ \mathsf{Games}$
- \diamondsuit Perfect play
 - minimax decisions
 - $\alpha \beta$ pruning
- \diamondsuit Resource limits and approximate evaluation
- \diamondsuit Games of chance
- \diamondsuit Games of imperfect information

Games vs. search problems

"Unpredictable" opponent \Rightarrow solution is a strategy specifying a move for every possible opponent reply

Time limits \Rightarrow unlikely to find goal, must approximate

Plan of attack:

- Computer considers possible lines of play (Babbage, 1846)
- Algorithm for perfect play (Zermelo, 1912; Von Neumann, 1944)
- Finite horizon, approximate evaluation (Zuse, 1945; Wiener, 1948; Shannon, 1950)
- First chess program (Turing, 1951)
- Machine learning to improve evaluation accuracy (Samuel, 1952–57)
- Pruning to allow deeper search (McCarthy, 1956)

Types of games

perfect information

imperfect information

deterministic	chance
chess, checkers,	backgammon
go, othello	monopoly
battleships,	bridge, poker, scrabble
blind tictactoe	nuclear war



Minimax

Perfect play for deterministic, perfect-information games

Idea: choose move to position with highest minimax value = best achievable payoff against best play



Minimax algorithm

```
function MINIMAX-DECISION(state) returns an action
```

inputs: *state*, current state in game

```
return the a in ACTIONS(state) maximizing MIN-VALUE(RESULT(a, state))
```

```
function MAX-VALUE(state) returns a utility value
if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
v \leftarrow -\infty
for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do v \leftarrow MAX(v, MIN-VALUE(s))
return v
```

```
function MIN-VALUE(state) returns a utility value
if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
v \leftarrow \infty
for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do v \leftarrow MIN(v, MAX-VALUE(s))
return v
```

Complete??

<u>Complete</u>?? Only if tree is finite (chess has specific rules for this). NB a finite strategy can exist even in an infinite tree!

Optimal??

Complete?? Yes, if tree is finite (chess has specific rules for this)

Optimal?? Yes, against an optimal opponent. Otherwise??

Time complexity??

Complete?? Yes, if tree is finite (chess has specific rules for this)

Optimal?? Yes, against an optimal opponent. Otherwise??

Time complexity?? $O(b^m)$

Space complexity??

Complete?? Yes, if tree is finite (chess has specific rules for this)

Optimal?? Yes, against an optimal opponent. Otherwise??

Time complexity?? $O(b^m)$

Space complexity?? O(bm) (depth-first exploration)

For chess, $b \approx 35$, $m \approx 100$ for "reasonable" games \Rightarrow exact solution completely infeasible

But do we need to explore every path?











Why is it called $\alpha - \beta$?



 α is the best value (to MAX) found so far off the current path If V is worse than α , MAX will avoid it \Rightarrow prune that branch Define β similarly for MIN

Properties of $\alpha - \beta$

Pruning does not affect final result

Good move ordering improves effectiveness of pruning

With "perfect ordering," time complexity = $O(b^{m/2})$ \Rightarrow **doubles** solvable depth

A simple example of the value of reasoning about which computations are relevant (a form of metareasoning)

Unfortunately, 35^{50} is still impossible!

Resource limits

Standard approach:

• Use CUTOFF-TEST instead of TERMINAL-TEST e.g., depth limit (perhaps add quiescence search)

 \bullet Use Eval instead of $\operatorname{UTILITY}$

i.e., evaluation function that estimates desirability of position

Suppose we have 100 seconds, explore 10^4 nodes/second

 $\Rightarrow 10^6$ nodes per move $\approx 35^{8/2}$

 $\Rightarrow \alpha – \beta$ reaches depth 8 \Rightarrow pretty good chess program

Evaluation functions



Black to move

White slightly better

1 1 ¥ Ŧ £ <u>¥</u> 윺 - ₽ ₩ 윤 윺 윤 율 且 White to move

f

Black winning

f

I

Ŧ

For chess, typically linear weighted sum of features

 $Eval(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)$

e.g., $w_1 = 9$ with $f_1(s) = ($ number of white queens) - (number of black queens), etc.



Behaviour is preserved under any monotonic transformation of EVAL

Only the order matters:

payoff in deterministic games acts as an ordinal utility function

How to achieve a good game of chess?

- Extensively tuned evaluation function
- Cutoff test with quiescence search
- Large transposition table [i.e., hash of previously seen positions, saved for re-use]
- Use of alpha-beta, with extra pruning
- Large database of optimal opening and endgame moves
- Fast computer!

Exercise – Tic-tac-toe

- Define X_n as the number of rows, columns, or diagonals with exactly n X's and no O's. Similarly, O_n is the number of rows, columns, or diagonals with exactly n O's and no X's.
- The utility function assigns +1 to any position with $X_3 = 1$ and -1 for any position with $O_3 = 1$. All other terminal positions have utility 0.
- For non-terminal positions, we use a linear evaluation function defined as Eval(s) = 3X₂(s) + X₁(s) - (3O₂(s)+O₁(s))
- a) Approximately how many games of tic-tac-toe are there?

Exercise – Tic-tac-toe

- Define X_n as the number of rows, columns, or diagonals with exactly n X's and no O's. Similarly, O_n is the number of rows, columns, or diagonals with exactly n O's and no X's.
- The utility function assigns +1 to any position with $X_3 = 1$ and -1 for any position with $O_3 = 1$. All other terminal positions have utility 0.
- For non-terminal positions, we use a linear evaluation function defined as Eval(s) = 3X₂(s) + X₁(s) - (3O₂(s)+O₁(s))
- a) Approximately how many games of tic-tac-toe are there?
 9! = the number of move sequences that fill up the board (although many wins and losses occur before that)

Exercise – Tic-tac-toe

b) What does the game tree look like (taking symmetry into account)?

Exercise – Prove correctness of α - β

- Question is whether to prune n_j, which is a max-node and descendent of n₁
- Basic idea is to prune it iff the minimax value of n₁ can be shown to be independent of the value of n_j
- Node n_1 takes on the minimum value among its children $n_1 = \min(n_2, n_{21}, ..., n_{2_{b2}})$. Find a similar expression for n_2 and hence an expression for n_1 in terms of n_i .



Nondeterministic games: backgammon



Nondeterministic games in general

In nondeterministic games, chance introduced by dice, card-shuffling Simplified example with coin-flipping:



Algorithm for nondeterministic games

EXPECTIMINIMAX gives perfect play

. . .

Just like $\operatorname{MINIMAX}$, except we must also handle chance nodes:

if state is a MAX node then
 return the highest EXPECTIMINIMAX-VALUE of SUCCESSORS(state)
if state is a MIN node then
 return the lowest EXPECTIMINIMAX-VALUE of SUCCESSORS(state)
if state is a chance node then
 return average of EXPECTIMINIMAX-VALUE of SUCCESSORS(state)

Nondeterministic games in practice

Dice rolls increase b: 21 possible rolls with 2 dice Backgammon \approx 20 legal moves (can be 6,000 with 1-1 roll)

depth $4 = 20 \times (21 \times 20)^3 \approx 1.2 \times 10^9$

As depth increases, probability of reaching a given node shrinks \Rightarrow value of lookahead is diminished

 $\alpha \text{-}\beta$ pruning is much less effective

$$\label{eq:total_total} \begin{split} TDGAMMON \text{ uses depth-2 search} + \text{very good } Eval \\ \approx \text{world-champion level} \end{split}$$



Behaviour is preserved only by positive linear transformation of EVAL

Hence Eval should be proportional to the expected payoff

Games of imperfect information

E.g., card games, where opponent's initial cards are unknown

Typically we can calculate a probability for each possible deal

Seems just like having one big dice roll at the beginning of the game *

Idea: compute the minimax value of each action in each deal, then choose the action with highest expected value over all deals*

Special case: if an action is optimal for all deals, it's optimal.*

GIB, current best bridge program, approximates this idea by1) generating 100 deals consistent with bidding information2) picking the action that wins most tricks on average

Commonsense example

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces

Road B leads to a fork:

take the left fork and you'll find a mound of jewels; take the right fork and you'll be run over by a bus.

Commonsense example

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces

Road B leads to a fork:

take the left fork and you'll find a mound of jewels; take the right fork and you'll be run over by a bus.

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces

Road B leads to a fork:

take the left fork and you'll be run over by a bus; take the right fork and you'll find a mound of jewels.

Commonsense example

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces

Road B leads to a fork:

take the left fork and you'll find a mound of jewels; take the right fork and you'll be run over by a bus.

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces

Road B leads to a fork:

take the left fork and you'll be run over by a bus; take the right fork and you'll find a mound of jewels.

Road A leads to a small heap of gold pieces Road B leads to a fork:

> guess correctly and you'll find a mound of jewels; guess incorrectly and you'll be run over by a bus.

Proper analysis

 * Intuition that the value of an action is the average of its values in all actual states is \mathbf{WRONG}

With partial observability, value of an action depends on the information state or belief state the agent is in

Can generate and search a tree of information states

Leads to rational behaviors such as

- \diamond Acting to obtain information
- \diamond Signalling to one's partner
- \diamondsuit Acting randomly to minimize information disclosure

Summary

Games are fun to work on! (and dangerous)

They illustrate several important points about AI

- \diamondsuit perfection is unattainable \Rightarrow must approximate
- \diamondsuit good idea to think about what to think about
- \diamondsuit uncertainty constrains the assignment of values to states
- \diamond optimal decisions depend on information state, not real state

Games are to AI as grand prix racing is to automobile design

Exercise – Chess Storage

Suppose you have a chess program that can evaluate 10 million nodes per second. (There are approximately 10⁴⁷ legal game positions in chess.)

a) What is a compact representation of a game state for storage in a transposition table? (Note that there are 32 pieces in chess, and 64 squares on the board. Presume 8-bit bytes.)

Reading Assignment

- For next week: Chapter 7 Logical Agents
 - Tuesday: 7.1-7.4
 - Thursday: 7.5-7.7