V. Evolutionary Computing

A. Genetic Algorithms

2012/3/26   1

Genetic Algorithms

- Developed by John Holland in '60s
- Did not become popular until late '80s
- A simplified model of genetics and evolution by natural selection
- Most widely applied to optimization problems (maximize "fitness")

2012/3/26   2

Assumptions

- Existence of fitness function to quantify merit of potential solutions
  - this "fitness" is what the GA will maximize
- A mapping from bit-strings to potential solutions
  - best if each possible string generates a legal potential solution
  - choice of mapping is important
  - can use strings over other finite alphabets

2012/3/26   3

Outline of Simplified GA

1. Random initial population $P(0)$
2. Repeat for $t = 0, \ldots, t_{max}$ or until converges:
   a) create empty population $P(t + 1)$
   b) repeat until $P(t + 1)$ is full:
      1) select two individuals from $P(t)$ based on fitness
      2) optionally mate & replace with offspring
      3) optionally mutate offspring
      4) add two individuals to $P(t + 1)$

2012/3/26   4

Fitness-Biased Selection

- Want the more "fit" to be more likely to reproduce
  - always selecting the best
    $\Rightarrow$ premature convergence
  - probabilistic selection $\Rightarrow$ better exploration
- Roulette-wheel selection: probability $\propto$ relative fitness:

$$\Pr\{i \text{ mates}\} = \frac{f_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j}$$

2012/3/26   5

Crossover: Biological Inspiration

chromatids of homologous
chromosomes which will
cross over

nucleus

cytoplasm

chiasma

- Occurs during meiosis, when haploid gametes are formed
- Randomly mixes genes from two parents
- Creates genetic variation in gametes

2012/3/26   (fig. from *B&N Thes. Biol.*)   6

GAs: One-point Crossover

parents   offspring

GAs: Two-point Crossover

parents   offspring

GAs: *N*-point Crossover

parents   offspring

Mutation: Biological Inspiration

- Chromosome mutation ⇒
- Gene mutation: alteration of the DNA in a gene
  – inspiration for mutation in GAs
- In typical GA each bit has a low probability of changing
- Some GAs models rearrange bits

(fig. from *B&N Thes. Biol.*)   10

The Red Queen Hypothesis

"Now, *here*, you see, it takes all the running *you* can do, to keep in the same place."
— *Through the Looking-Glass and What Alice Found There*

- *Observation*: a species probability of extinction is independent of time it has existed
- *Hypothesis*: species continually adapt to each other
- Extinction occurs with insufficient variability for further adaptation

2012/3/26

11

Demonstration of GA: Finding Maximum of Fitness Landscape

Run Genetic Algorithms — An Intuitive Introduction
by Pascal Glauser
<www.glauserweb.ch/gentore.htm>

2012/3/26

12

Demonstration of GA:
Evolving to Generate
a Pre-specified Shape
(Phenotype)

Run Genetic Algorithm Viewer
<www.rennard.org/alife/english/gavgb.html>

2012/3/26

13

Demonstration of GA:
Eaters Seeking Food

http://math.hws.edu/xJava/GA/

2012/3/26

14

Morphology Project
by Michael "Flux" Chang

- Senior Independent Study project at UCLA
  - users.design.ucla.edu/~mflux/morphology
- Researched and programmed in 10 weeks
- Programmed in Processing language
  - www.processing.org

2012/3/26

15

Genotype ⇒ Phenotype

- Cells are "grown," not specified individually
- Each gene specifies information such as:
  - angle
  - distance
  - type of cell
  - how many times to replicate
  - following gene
- Cells connected by "springs"
- Run phenome:
  <users.design.ucla.edu/~mflux/morphology/gallery/sketches/phenome>

2012/3/26

16

Complete Creature

- Neural nets for control (blue)
  - integrate-and-fire neurons
- Muscles (red)
  - decrease "spring length" when fire
- Sensors (green)
  - fire when exposed to "light"
- Structural elements (grey)
  - anchor other cells together
- Creature embedded in a fluid
- Run <users.design.ucla.edu/~mflux/morphology/gallery/sketches/creature>

2012/3/26   17

Effects of Mutation

- Neural nets for control (blue)
- Muscles (red)
- Sensors (green)
- Structural elements (grey)
- Creature embedded in a fluid
- Run
  <users.design.ucla.edu/~mflux/morphology/gallery/sketches/creaturepack>

2012/3/26   18

Evolution

- Population: 150–200
- Nonviable & nonre-sponsive creatures eliminated
- Fitness based on speed or light-following
- 30% of new pop. are mutated copies of best
- 70% are random
- No crossover

2012/3/26   19

Gallery of Evolved Creatures

- Selected for speed of movement
- Run
  <users.design.ucla.edu/~mflux/morphology/gallery/sketches/creaturegallery>

2012/3/26   20

Why Does the GA Work?

The Schema Theorem

　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　21

Schemata

A schema is a description of certain patterns
of bits in a genetic string

110000

⋮

111010

⋮

110001

a schema
describes
many strings

* * * * * 0

* * 0 * 1 *

11 * 0 * *

110 * 10

110010

110010

a string
belongs to
many schemata

　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　22

The Fitness of Schemata

- The schemata are the building blocks of solutions
- We would like to know the average fitness of all possible strings belonging to a schema
- We cannot, but the strings in a population that belong to a schema give an estimate of the fitness of that schema
- Each string in a population is giving information about all the schemata to which it belongs (implicit parallelism)

Effect of Selection

Let n = size of population

Let $m(S,t)$ = number of instances of schema S at time t

String i gets picked with probability $\dfrac{f_i}{\sum_j f_j}$

Let $f(S)$ = avg fitness of instances of S at time t

So expected $m(S,t+1) = m(S,t) \cdot n \cdot \dfrac{f(S)}{\sum_j f_j}$

Since $f_{av} = \dfrac{\sum_j f_j}{n}, \ m(S,t+1) = m(S,t) \dfrac{f(S)}{f_{av}}$

Exponential Growth

- We have discovered:

  $m(S, t+1) = m(S, t) \cdot f(S) / f_{av}$

- Suppose $f(S) = f_{av}(1 + c)$

- Then $m(S, t) = m(S, 0)(1 + c)^t$

- That is, exponential growth in above-average schemata

Effect of Crossover

$$**1 \ldots 0***$$
$$|\leftarrow\delta\rightarrow|$$

- Let λ = length of genetic strings
- Let $\delta(S)$ = defining length of schema S
- Probability {crossover destroys S}:

  $p_d \leq \delta(S) / (\lambda - 1)$

- Let p_c = probability of crossover
- Probability schema survives:

$$p_s \geq 1 - p_c \frac{\delta(S)}{\lambda - 1}$$

Selection & Crossover Together

$$m(S, t+1) \geq m(S, t) \frac{f(S)}{f_{\text{av}}} \left[1 - p_{\text{c}} \frac{\delta(S)}{\lambda - 1} \right]$$

Effect of Mutation

- Let p_{m} = probability of mutation
- So $1 - p_{\text{m}}$ = probability an allele survives
- Let $o(S)$ = number of fixed positions in S
- The probability they all survive is
  $(1 - p_{\text{m}})^{o(S)}$
- If $p_{\text{m}} \ll 1$, $(1 - p_{\text{m}})^{o(S)} \approx 1 - o(S) \, p_{\text{m}}$

Schema Theorem: "Fundamental Theorem of GAs"

$$m(S, t+1) \geq m(S,t) \frac{f(S)}{f_{\text{av}}} \left[1 - p_c \frac{\delta(S)}{\lambda - 1} - o(S) p_{\text{m}} \right]$$

2012/3/26   29

The Bandit Problem

- Two-armed bandit:
  - random payoffs with (unknown) means m_1, m_2 and variances σ_1, σ_2
  - optimal strategy: allocate exponentially greater number of trials to apparently better lever
- k-armed bandit: similar analysis applies
- Analogous to allocation of population to schemata
- Suggests GA may allocate trials optimally

2012/3/26   30

Goldberg's Analysis of Competent & Efficient GAs

Paradox of GAs

- Individually uninteresting operators:
  - selection, recombination, mutation
- Selection + mutation $\Rightarrow$ continual improvement
- Selection + recombination $\Rightarrow$ innovation
  - fundamental to invention:
    generation vs. *evaluation*
- Fundamental intuition of GAs: the three work well together

Race Between Selection & Innovation: Takeover Time

- Takeover time t^* = average time for most fit to take over population
- Transaction selection: population replaced by s copies of top $1/s$
- s quantifies selective pressure
- Estimate $t^* \approx \ln n / \ln s$

Innovation Time

- Innovation time t_i = average time to get a better individual through crossover & mutation
- Let p_i = probability a single crossover produces a better individual
- Number of individuals undergoing crossover = $p_c\, n$
- Number of probable improvements = $p_i\, p_c\, n$
- Estimate: $t_i \approx 1 / (p_c\, p_i\, n)$

Steady State Innovation

- Bad: $t^* < t_i$
  - because once you have takeover, crossover does no good
- Good: $t_i < t^*$
  - because each time a better individual is produced, the t^* clock resets
  - *steady state innovation*
- Innovation number:

$$Iv = \frac{t^*}{t_i} = p_c p_i \frac{n \ln n}{\ln s} > 1$$

2012/3/26   35

Feasible Region

p_c

schema theorem boundary

crossover probability

drift boundary

cross-competition boundary

**successful
genetic algorithm**

mixing boundary

ln s

selection pressure

2012/3/26   36

Other Algorithms Inspired by Genetics and Evolution

- Evolutionary Programming
  - natural representation, no crossover, time-varying continuous mutation
- Evolutionary Strategies
  - similar, but with a kind of recombination
- Genetic Programming
  - like GA, but program trees instead of strings
- Classifier Systems
  - GA + rules + bids/payments
- and many variants & combinations…

2012/3/26 37

Next: Agents and Self-Organization

(Re)read ch. 16

2012/3/26 38

Additional Bibliography

1. Goldberg, D.E. *The Design of Innovation: Lessons from and for Competent Genetic Algorithms*. Kluwer, 2002.

2. Milner, R. *The Encyclopedia of Evolution*. Facts on File, 1990.

VB