

EnergyPlus - Moving from IDF to JDF (JSON)



Mark Adams

Jason Glazer Michael J. Witte Oak Ridge National Laboratory GARD Analytics GARD Analytics

Contribution Number	6169
Presentation Date	2017-08-07
Presentation Time	13:45



Outline

- Tutorial/Training Materials
- EnergyPlus IDF
- Why Change?
- EnergyPlus JDF (JSON)
- EnergyPlus JDD (JSON Schema)
- Modifying JDF
- IDF-Based Pre-processors
- Looking Forward

Tutorial/Training Materials

- https://github.com/ORNL-BTRIC/IBPSA-BuildingSim-2017-JSON
- Contains:
 - Example IDF
 - Example JDF
 - JSON-enabled EnergyPlus version
 - README with walk-through instructions
 - Python script to show validation outside E+

EnergyPlus IDF

• Comma separated variable (CSV)-like input

BuildingSurface:Detailed,		
Zn001:Wall001,	!- Name	
Wall,	!- Surface Type	
R13WALL,	<pre>!- Construction Name</pre>	
ZONE ONE,	!- Zone Name	
Outdoors,	!- Outside Boundary Condition	
,	!- Outside Boundary Condition Object	
SunExposed,	!- Sun Exposure	
WindExposed,	!- Wind Exposure	
0.5000000,	<pre>!- View Factor to Ground</pre>	
4,	!- Number of Vertices	
0,0,4.572000, !- X,Y,Z ==> Vertex 1 {m}		
0,0,0, !- X,Y,Z ==> Vertex 2 {m}		
15.24000,0,0, !- X,Y,Z ==> Vertex 3 {m}		
15.24000,0,4.572000; !- X,Y,Z ==> Vertex 4 {m}		

Why change?

- Difficult to parse
 - Custom E+ parser



- Must re-implement E+ parsing for any third party tool
- Fields are referenced by index
 - Must add fields to end, otherwise need to transition IDF object.
- Can only have one group of extensible fields per object
 - For example, BuildingSurface:Detailed can only have vertices
- Difficult to validate IDF against IDD without running EnergyPlus
- EnergyPlus team and Department of Energy (DOE) priority
 - Top 10 UserVoice suggestion
 - Will lead to better internal code structure, better maintainability

EnergyPlus JDF (JSON)

- Format name change: JDF -> epJSON
- Uses Javascript Object Notation (JSON) based on standards RFC 7159 and ECMA-404
- Key/Value pairs



```
"BuildingSurface:Detailed": {
    "Zn001:Flr001": {
        "construction_name": "FLOOR",
        "number_of_vertices": 4,
        "outside_boundary_condition": "Adiabatic",
        "outside_boundary_condition_object": "",
        "sun_exposure": "NoSun",
        "surface_type": "Floor",
        "vertices": [
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 15.24,
                "vertex_y_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
            },
{
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_y_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
            },
{
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_v_coordinate": 15.24,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
            },
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 15.24,
                "vertex_y_coordinate": 15.24,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
        ۱,
        "view_factor_to_ground": 1,
        "wind_exposure": "NoWind",
        "zone_name": "ZONE ONE"
   },
```

EnergyPlus JDF (JSON)

Advantages

- Key/value based, not positional
- Unlimited length extensible fields
- Multiple extensible fields
- Nearly all languages support JSON parsing
- Easy to add and remove fields, no translation
- Can have extraneous fields
- 1.6x to 5.4x speedup processing input

```
"BuildingSurface:Detailed": {
    "Zn001:Flr001": {
        "construction_name": "FLOOR",
        "number_of_vertices": 4,
        "outside_boundary_condition": "Adiabatic",
        "outside_boundary_condition_object": "",
        "sun_exposure": "NoSun",
        "surface_type": "Floor",
        "vertices": [
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 15.24,
                "vertex_y_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
            },
{
                "vertex_x_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_y_coordinate": 0.0,
                "vertex_z_coordinate": 0.0
```

EnergyPlus JDD (JSON Schema)

- Format name change: JDD -> epJSON Schema
- Uses widely accepted JSON Schema for validation
 - Conceptually similar to XML Schema (XSD)
- Contains all information from IDD
- Automatically generated from IDD

```
"BuildingSurface:Detailed": {
   "extensible_size": 3.0,
   "name": {
       "is_required": true,
       "type": "string",
       "reference":
            "AllHeatTranAngFacNames",
            "AllHeatTranSurfNames",
            "AllShadingAndHTSurfNames",
            "FloorSurfaceNames",
            "OutFaceEnvNames",
            "RadiantSurfaceNames",
           "SurfAndSubSurfNames",
            "SurfaceNames"
    ł,
   "format": "vertices",
   "min_fields": 19.0,
   "patternProperties": {
        ".*": -
            "required": [
                "surface_type",
                "construction_name",
                "zone_name",
                "outside_boundary_condition"
            "type": "object",
            "properties": {
                "surface_type": {
                    "type": "string",
                    "enum": [
                        "Ceiling",
                        "Floor",
                        "Roof",
                        "Wall"
                "number_of_vertices": {
                    "note": "shown with 120 vertex coor
```

EnergyPlus JDD (JSON Schema)

Advantages

- End user can validate JDF against JDD
- Most languages support JSON Schema validation
- No need to write custom validator
- Standardized, explicit programmatic approach to validation
- Future allows for more complex validation

```
"BuildingSurface:Detailed": {
    "extensible_size": 3.0,
    "name": {
        "is_required": true,
        "type": "string",
        "reference":
            "AllHeatTranAngFacNames",
            "AllHeatTranSurfNames",
            "AllShadingAndHTSurfNames",
            "FloorSurfaceNames",
            "OutFaceEnvNames",
            "RadiantSurfaceNames",
            "SurfAndSubSurfNames",
            "SurfaceNames"
    },
    "format": "vertices",
    "min_fields": 19.0,
    "patternProperties": {
        ".*": {
            "required": [
                "surface_type",
                "construction_name",
                "zone_name",
                "outside_boundary_condition"
```

Input Validation Changes

- EnergyPlus input is now case-sensitive
 - Automatically taken care of during translation from IDF to JDF
- More strict validation requirements
 - Previous input processor allowed undocumented inputs as valid
 - "Choice" enumerations were not enforced during input processing
- Fields must be accessed by key (name) instead of index (position)

Modifying JDF

- Easy to programmatically alter JDF
- Can use any language that supports JSON
- Can use existing JSON editing tools
- No need to write IDF parser first



IDF-Based Pre-Processors

- Existing pre-processors will not support JDF
 - Must be run on IDF first then translate IDF->JDF
 - Programs could be rewritten in future to work on JDF
- ParametricPreprocessor
 - Parametric:*
- EP-Macro
 - $\inf \rightarrow idf$
- ExpandObjects
 - HVACTemplate:* \rightarrow No replacement planned
 - GroundHeatTransfer:* \rightarrow Replaced with integrated foundation objects

Looking Forward

- Tentative EnergyPlus team and DOE transition plan
 - EnergyPlus 8.8 : JDF input used internally and as experimental input (user feedback and schema changes), automatic IDF -> JDF translation within EnergyPlus
 - EnergyPlus 8.9 : JDF becomes 1st class citizen along with IDF.
 - EnergyPlus 9.0 9.3 : Deprecate IDF input (deprecation notices in documentation and warning message from command line), but still have automatic translation within EnergyPlus
 - EnergyPlus 10.0 : Remove IDF input, freeze IDD/IDF, and move translation program out of EnergyPlus
- Existing transition utilities and JDF translation utility will always provide a path to move legacy IDF to JDF
- JSON will provide better supported, easier to use, and more programmatically accessible format





Questions and Discussion

Mark Adams	adamsmb@
Jason Glazer	jglazer@c
Michael J. Witte	<u>mjwitte @c</u>



