

# Construction of two SD Codes

Mario Blaum

IBM Almaden Research Center  
San Jose, CA 95120

James S. Plank

EECS Department  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, TN 37996

May 7, 2013

## Abstract

SD codes are erasure codes that address the mixed failure mode of current RAID systems. Rather than dedicate entire disks to erasure coding, as done in RAID-5, RAID-6 and Reed-Solomon coding, an SD code dedicates entire disks, plus individual sectors to erasure coding. The code then tolerates combinations of disk and sector errors, rather than solely disk errors. It is been an open problem to construct general codes that have the SD property, and previous work has relied on Monte Carlo searches. In this paper, we present two general constructions that address the cases with one disk and two sectors, and two disks and two sectors. Additionally, we make an observation about shortening SD codes that allows us to prune Monte Carlo searches.

**Keywords:** Error-correcting codes, RAID architectures, MDS codes, array codes, Reed-Solomon codes, Blaum-Roth codes, PMDS codes, SD codes.

## 1 Introduction

The motivation and description of SD codes is presented in early work by Plank, Blaum and Hafner [5]. In this work, we assume that the reader has read that paper or the follow-on paper [6].

We use the following nomenclature to describe an SD code:

- $n$ : The total number of disks in a disk array.
- $m$ : The total number of disks dedicated to fault-tolerance.
- $s$ : The total number of additional sectors per stripe dedicated to fault-tolerance.
- $r$ : The total number of sectors per disk in a stripe.
- $GF(2^w)$ : The Galois Field which defines the arithmetic.
- $H$ : An  $(mr + s) \times (nr)$  parity check matrix.

The parity check matrix has a specific format:

- For row  $i < mr$ , the only non-zero elements are in columns  $\left(\frac{i}{r}\right)n$  through  $\left(\frac{i}{r}\right)(n+1)-1$ . The fractions employ integer division.
- For row  $mr \leq i < mr + s$ , all elements are non-zero.

Each block in the stripe has a corresponding column of the parity check matrix. In particular, block  $i$  of disk  $j$  corresponds to column  $ni + j$ . The code is SD if it tolerates any combination of  $m$  disk failures and  $s$  additional sector failures.

General constructions of SD codes have been heretofore limited. Blaum, Hafner and Hetzler have given constructions when  $s = 1$  [2], and Blaum has presented a construction for  $m = 1$  and  $s = 2$ . In Section 2 of this paper, we present this code again, but with a simpler proof of the SD property. In Section 3, we present a construction for  $m = 2$  and  $s = 2$ . Finally, in Section 4, we make an observation on SD codes that allows us to prune searches for further constructions.

## 2 Construction of an SD code with $m = 1$ and $s = 2$

Here we repeat the construction given in [1], but we give a simpler proof.

Consider the field  $GF(2^w)$  and let  $\alpha$  be an element in  $GF(2^w)$ . The (multiplicative) order of  $\alpha$ , denoted  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ , is the minimum  $\ell$ ,  $0 < \ell$ , such that  $\alpha^\ell = 1$ . If  $\alpha$  is a primitive element [4], then  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = 2^w - 1$ . To each element  $\alpha \in GF(2^w)$ , there is an associated (irreducible) minimal polynomial [4] that we denote  $f_\alpha(x)$ .

Let  $\alpha \in GF(2^w)$  and  $rn \leq \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ . Consider the  $(r + 2) \times rn$  parity-check matrix

$$\left( \underline{c}_0 \quad \underline{c}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \underline{c}_{n-1} \mid \underline{c}_n \quad \underline{c}_{n+1} \quad \cdots \quad \underline{c}_{2n-1} \mid \cdots \mid \underline{c}_{(r-1)n} \quad \underline{c}_{(r-1)n+1} \quad \cdots \quad \underline{c}_{rn-1} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\underline{c}_i$  denotes a column of length  $r+2$ , and, if  $\underline{e}_i$  denotes an  $r \times 1$  vector whose coordinates are zero except for coordinate  $i$ , which is 1, then, for  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ ,

$$\underline{c}_{in}, \underline{c}_{in+1}, \dots, \underline{c}_{(i+1)n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e}_i & \underline{e}_i & \cdots & \underline{e}_i & \cdots & \underline{e}_i \\ \alpha^{in} & \alpha^{in+1} & \cdots & \alpha^{in+j} & \cdots & \alpha^{(i+1)n-1} \\ \alpha^{2in} & \alpha^{2in-1} & \cdots & \alpha^{2in-j} & \cdots & \alpha^{(2i-1)n+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

We denote as  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  the  $[rn, r(n-1) - 2]$  code over  $GF(2^w)$  whose parity-check matrix is given by (1) and (2).

**Example 2.1** Consider the finite field  $GF(16)$  and let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = 15$ . Then, the parity-check matrix of  $\mathcal{C}(3, 5, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{14} \\ 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^9 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & \alpha \end{array} \right)$$

Similarly, the parity-check matrix of  $\mathcal{C}(5, 3, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{14} \\ 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & \alpha^9 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^7 \end{array} \right)$$

Let us point out that the construction of this type of codes is valid also over the ring of polynomials modulo  $M_p(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}$ ,  $p$  a prime number, as done with the Blaum-Roth (BR) codes [3]. In that case,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = p$ , where  $\alpha^{p-1} = 1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{p-2}$ . The construction proceeds similarly, and we denote it  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 1; M_p(x))$ . Utilizing the ring modulo  $M_p(x)$  allows for XOR operations at the encoding and the decoding without look-up tables in a finite field, which is advantageous in erasure decoding [3]. It is well known that  $M_p(x)$  is irreducible if and only if 2 is primitive in  $GF(p)$  [4].

**Example 2.2** Consider the ring of polynomials modulo  $M_{17}(x)$  and let  $\alpha$  be an element in the ring such that  $\alpha^{16} = 1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{15}$ , thus,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = 17$  (notice,  $M_{17}(x)$  is reducible). Then, the parity-check matrix of  $\mathcal{C}(4, 4, 1; M_{17}(x))$  is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{14} \\ 1 & \alpha^{16} & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^8 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^{16} & \alpha^{15} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^7 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 \end{array} \right)$$

We have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** Codes  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  and  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 1; M_p(x))$  are SD codes.

**Proof:** We break the proof into two cases. In the first, the two sector errors occur on the same row of the stripe. In this case, we focus solely on three columns of the parity check matrix that share non-zero entries in one of the first  $r$  rows. Put another way, this will happen if and only if, for any  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$  and  $0 \leq j_0 < j_1 < j_2 \leq n - 1$ ,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^{in+j_0} & \alpha^{in+j_1} & \alpha^{in+j_2} \\ \alpha^{2in-j_0} & \alpha^{2in-j_1} & \alpha^{2in-j_2} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

But the determinant of this  $3 \times 3$  matrix can be easily transformed into a Vandermonde determinant on  $\alpha^{j_0}$ ,  $\alpha^{j_1}$  and  $\alpha^{j_2}$  times a power of  $\alpha$ , so it is invertible in a field and also in the ring of polynomials modulo  $M_p(x)$  [3].

In the second case, the two sector failures occur in different rows of the stripe. In this case, we must prove to prove that if we have two erasures in locations  $i$  and  $j$  of row  $\ell$ , and two erasures in locations  $i$  and  $j'$  of row  $\ell'$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, j' \leq n-1$ ,  $j, j' \neq i$ ,  $0 \leq \ell < \ell' \leq r-1$ , then

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^{\ell n+i} & \alpha^{\ell n+j} & \alpha^{\ell' n+i} & \alpha^{\ell' n+j'} \\ \alpha^{2\ell n-i} & \alpha^{2\ell n-j} & \alpha^{2\ell' n-i} & \alpha^{2\ell' n-j'} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

After some row manipulation, the inequality above holds if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{\ell n+i} (1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) & \alpha^{\ell' n+i} (1 \oplus \alpha^{j'-i}) \\ \alpha^{2\ell n-j} (1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) & \alpha^{2\ell' n-j'} (1 \oplus \alpha^{j'-i}) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Both  $1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}$  and  $1 \oplus \alpha^{j'-i}$  are invertible in  $GF(2^w)$  since  $1 \leq j-i, j'-i < \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ , and the same is true in the polynomials modulo  $M_p(x)$  [3], thus, the inequality above is satisfied if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-(\ell'-\ell)n} & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^{2(\ell'-\ell)n+j-j'} \end{pmatrix} = 1 \oplus \alpha^{(\ell'-\ell)n+j-j'}.$$

Redefining  $\ell \leftarrow \ell' - \ell$  and  $j \leftarrow j' - j$ , we have  $1 \leq \ell \leq r-1$  and  $-(n-1) \leq j \leq n+1$ . Thus,  $\alpha^{\ell n+j} \neq 1$ , since

$$1 \leq \ell n + j \leq (r-1)n + n - 1 = rn - 1 \leq \mathcal{O}(\alpha) - 1.$$

□

By Theorem 2.1, the codes  $\mathcal{C}(3, 5, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  and  $\mathcal{C}(5, 3, 1; f_\alpha(x))$  in Example 2.1 are SD, as well as code  $\mathcal{C}(4, 4, 1; M_{17}(x))$  in Example 2.2.

### 3 Construction of an SD code with $m = 2$ and $s = 2$

Let  $\alpha \in GF(2^w)$  and  $rn \leq \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ . Consider the  $(2r + 2) \times rn$  parity-check matrix

$$\left( \underline{c}_0 \ \underline{c}_1 \ \cdots \ \underline{c}_{n-1} \mid \underline{c}_n \ \underline{c}_{n+1} \ \cdots \ \underline{c}_{2n-1} \mid \cdots \mid \underline{c}_{(r-1)n} \ \underline{c}_{(r-1)n+1} \ \cdots \ \underline{c}_{rn-1} \right) \quad (3)$$

where  $\underline{c}_{in+j}$  denotes a column of length  $2r + 2$ , and, if  $\underline{c}_{in+j}$  denotes a  $2r \times 1$  vector whose coordinates are zero except for coordinates  $2i$  and  $2i + 1$ , which are 1 and  $\alpha^j$  respectively, then, for  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ ,

$$\underline{c}_{in}, \underline{c}_{in+1}, \dots, \underline{c}_{(i+1)n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{c}_{in} & \underline{c}_{in+1} & \cdots & \underline{c}_{in+j} & \cdots & \underline{c}_{(i+1)n-1} \\ \alpha^{3in} & \alpha^{3in-1} & \cdots & \alpha^{3in-j} & \cdots & \alpha^{3in-(n-1)} \\ \alpha^{2in} & \alpha^{2(in+1)} & \cdots & \alpha^{2(in+j)} & \cdots & \alpha^{2((i+1)n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

We denote as  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  the  $[rn, r(n - 2) - 2]$  code over  $GF(q)$  whose parity-check matrix is given by (3) and (4).

Let us illustrate the construction of  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  with an example.

**Example 3.1** As in Example 2.1, consider the finite field  $GF(16)$  and let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = 15$ . Then, the parity-check matrix of  $\mathcal{C}(3, 5, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc|ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{11} & 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{11} & 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{12} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha & \alpha^3 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{11} \end{array} \right)$$

Similarly, the parity-check matrix of  $\mathcal{C}(5, 3, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^9 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^6 & \alpha^5 \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \alpha^6 & \alpha^8 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha & \alpha^3 & \alpha^5 & \alpha^7 & \alpha^9 & \alpha^{11} \end{array} \right)$$

Next we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1** Codes  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  and  $\mathcal{C}(r, n, 2; M_p(x))$  are SD codes.

**Proof:** Notice that 4 erasures in the same row will always be corrected. In effect, based on the parity-check matrix of the code, this will happen if and only if, for any  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq n-1$ ,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^{t_0} & \alpha^{t_1} & \alpha^{t_2} & \alpha^{t_3} \\ \alpha^{3in-t_0} & \alpha^{3in-t_1} & \alpha^{3in-t_2} & \alpha^{3in-t_3} \\ \alpha^{2(in+t_0)} & \alpha^{2(in+t_1)} & \alpha^{2(in+t_2)} & \alpha^{2(in+t_3)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

The determinant of this  $4 \times 4$  matrix can be easily transformed into a Vandermonde determinant on  $\alpha^{t_0}$ ,  $\alpha^{t_1}$ ,  $\alpha^{t_2}$  and  $\alpha^{t_3}$  times a power of  $\alpha$ , so it is invertible in a field and also in the ring of polynomials modulo  $M_p(x)$  [3].

Thus, the code will be SD if and only if, given three erasures in locations  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $t$  of row  $\ell$ , and three erasures in locations  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $t'$  of row  $\ell'$ ,  $0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$ ,  $0 \leq t, t' \leq n-1$ ,  $t, t' \neq i$  and  $t, t' \neq j$ ,  $0 \leq \ell < \ell' \leq r-1$ , then

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^t & \alpha^i & \alpha^j & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{t'} & \alpha^i & \alpha^j \\ \alpha^{3\ell n-t} & \alpha^{3\ell n-i} & \alpha^{3\ell n-j} & \alpha^{3\ell' n-t'} & \alpha^{3\ell' n-i} & \alpha^{3\ell' n-j} \\ \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)} & \alpha^{2(\ell n+i)} & \alpha^{2(\ell n+j)} & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')} & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+i)} & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+j)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

After some row manipulation, the inequality above holds if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^t(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t}) & \alpha^t(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{t'}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t'}) & \alpha^{t'}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t'}) \\ \alpha^{3\ell n-i}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t}) & \alpha^{3\ell n-j}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t}) & \alpha^{3\ell' n-i}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t'}) & \alpha^{3\ell' n-j}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t'}) \\ \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t})^2 & \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t})^2 & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t'})^2 & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t'})^2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

if and only if, taking some common factors in columns and in the first two rows,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^{3\ell n-i} & \alpha^{3\ell n-j} & \alpha^{3\ell' n-i} & \alpha^{3\ell' n-j} \\ \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t}) & \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t}) & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')}(1 \oplus \alpha^{i-t'}) & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-t'}) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Some more row manipulation gives that this determinant is nonzero if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{3\ell n-j}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) & \alpha^{3\ell' n-j}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) \\ \alpha^{2(\ell n+t)+i-t}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) & \alpha^{2(\ell' n+t')+i-t'}(1 \oplus \alpha^{j-i}) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{3(\ell'-\ell)} \\ \alpha^{-2(\ell'-\ell)n+t-t'} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \oplus \alpha^{(\ell'-\ell)n+t-t'} \neq 0.$$

Redefining  $\ell \leftarrow \ell' - \ell$  and  $t \leftarrow t - t'$ , we have  $1 \leq \ell \leq r - 1$  and  $-(n - 1) \leq t \leq n - 1$ . Then,  $1 \oplus \alpha^{\ell n+t} \neq 0$  reasoning as in Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

For instance, consider the finite field  $GF(256)$  and let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha) = 255$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1, code  $\mathcal{C}(51, 5, 2; f_\alpha(x))$  is SD.

## 4 Shortening the Codes in order to Prune Searches

Observe the following: if we have an SD code consisting of  $r \times n$  arrays, we consider the subcode of  $r \times n$  arrays such that the last  $r - r'$  rows are zero, where  $r' < r$ . These arrays correspond to a shortening of the original code and are written simply as  $r' \times n$  arrays. Since shortening preserves the error-correcting properties of the code, the shortened code consisting of  $r' \times n$  arrays is also SD. This observation allows us to prune the search as follows: in [6], we performed Monte Carlo searches to discover SD codes in cases where we didn't have constructions. Our methodology is to construct codes using random coefficients to generate a parity check matrix for given values of  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $s$  and  $r$  in  $GF(2^w)$ . We then test to see if the code is SD. If it is, then we generate a parity check matrix for  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $s$  and  $r + 1$ , and test it to see if the code is SD. We continue until either  $r$  reaches a threshold, or until the code is not SD. Because of the observation above regarding shortening, when we discover a code that is not SD, we are guaranteed that codes for higher values of  $r$  are not SD, and therefore we do not have to generate and test them.

### 4.1 Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation, under grant CSR-1016636, and by an IBM Faculty Award.

## References

- [1] M. Blaum, "Construction of PMDS and SD codes extending RAID 5," IBM Research Report, RJ10504, March 2013.

- [2] M. Blaum, J. L. Hafner and S. Hetzler, "Partial-MDS Codes and their Application to RAID Type of Architectures," IBM Research Report, RJ10498, February 2012.
- [3] M. Blaum and R. M. Roth, "New Array Codes for Multiple Phased Burst Correction," IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, vol. IT-39, pp. 66-77, January 1993.
- [4] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, "The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes," North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [5] J. S. Plank, M. Blaum and J. L. Hafner, "SD Codes: Erasure Codes Designed for How Storage Systems Really Fail," FAST 13, San Jose, CA, February 2013.
- [6] J. S. Plank and M. Blaum, "Sector-Disk (SD) Erasure Codes for Mixed Failure Modes in RAID Systems," ACM Trans. on Storage, to appear, 2013.