A Taxonomy of Multirobot Systems

---- Gregory Dudek, Michael Jenkin, and Evangelos Milios in

"Robot Teams: From Diversity to Polymorphism" edited by Tucher Balch and Lynne E. Parker published by A K Peters, Ltd, 2002 (ISBN: 1-56881-155-1)

presented by: Lan Lin for CS594: Distributed Intelligence for Autonomous Robotics March 11, 2003





A Quick Overview

- Why a Taxonomy Is Important
- Dimensions of Robot Collective Taxonomies
- A Taxonomy of Robot Collectives
- Case Studies
- Summary and Conclusions





Some Issues

- Multiple Robots vs. A Single Robot
 - distinguish between $\{r_i\}$ and R
 - cost, scalability, robustness, reliability, performance
- Intra-collective Communication
 - required for cooperative intelligent behavior
 - difficult in terms of efficiency, fault tolerance, and cost
 - design options less extensively examined



Tasks → Team Organization

- Expendability of Collective Elements
 - mine deployment, carrier deck foreign object disposal, etc.
- Computational Reasons
 - tasks (spatially disparate) that *require* synchronization (interrobot communication)
 - tasks (simple, highly parallel) that are *traditionally* multiagent
 - tasks that are *traditionally* single-agent
 - tasks that *may* benefit from multiple agents





Tasks (con'd)

- Communication Mechanism is Critical
- Requirements at Odds with One Another
 practicality, efficiency, reliability
- Different Collective Architectures Proposed
 - how to compare
- Factors that Influence Collective Processing Ability
 - # of units, unit sensing, limits on communication





Dimensions

- Dudek and Cao Independently Proposed the Classification
- Five Research Axes (defined by Cao)
 - Group Architecture
 - Centralized / Decentralized
 - Differentiation-heterogeneous vs. Homogeneous
 - Communication Structures (interaction via environment, via sensing, and via communications)
 - Modelling of Other Agents
 - Resource Conflicts
 - Origins of Cooperation
 - Learning
 - Geometric Problems





Dimensions (con'd)

- Other Efforts Along the Line
 - subdivision of collectives (Yuta and Premvuti)
 - in terms of a particular task (Arkin)
 - task features and rewards (Balch)
 - survey and identification of open questions (Parker)
 - degree of heterogeneity and communication with a focus on learning (Stone and Veloso)







(proposed by Dudek)

- Size of the Collective
 - SIZE-ALONE 1 robot
 - SIZE-PAIR 2 robots
 - SIZE-LIM multiple robots
 - SIZE-INF *n* » 1 robots

a property of the size of the task

- Communication Range
 - COM-NONE no direct communication
 - COM-NEAR communicate with others sufficiently nearby
 - COM-INF communicate with any other robot





Taxonomy (con'd)

Communication Topology

- TOP-BROAD broadcast
- TOP-ADD address
- TOP-TREE tree
- TOP-GRAPH graph
- Communication Bandwidth
 - BAND-INF free communication
 - BAND-MOTION same order of magnitude in cost compared with motion
 - BAND-LOW very high cost
 - BAND-ZERO no communication





Taxonomy (con'd)

• Collective Reconfigurability

- ARR-STATIC static arrangement
- ARR-COMM coordinated arrangement
- ARR-DYN dynamic arrangement

• Processing Ability of Each Collective Unit

- PROC-SUM non-linear summation unit
- PROC-FSA finite state automaton
- PROC-PDA push-down automaton
- PROC-TME Turing machine equivalent





Taxonomy (con'd)

Collective Composition

- CMP-IDENT identical
- CMP-HOM homogeneous
- CMP-HET heterogeneous
- Values of the Taxonomy
 - provides description of systems and results in the literature
 - maps out the space of possible designs



Summary of Taxonomy Axes

(Table 1.1 on Page 14)

Axis	Description
Collective Size	# of autonomous agents in the collective
Communication Range	the maximum distance between two elements for possible communication
Communication Topology	of the robots within communication range, those who can be communicated with
Communication Bandwidth	how much information can be transmitted to each other
Collective Reconfigurability	the rate at which the organization of the collective can be modified
Processing Ability	computational model used by an individual
Collective Composition	elements homogeneous or heterogeneous





Case Studies

- Turing Equivalence of a Collective of Finite Automata
 - (SIZE-INF, COM-NEAR, TOP-ADD, BAND-INF, ARR-STATIC, PROC-FSA, CMP-HET)
- Exploration
 - using an occupancy-grid-based map (Burgard)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-ADD, BAN-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)
 - using a topological map
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-ADD, BAND-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)





Case Studies (con'd)

- using a metric map (Dudek)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-GRAPH, BAND-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)
- Material Transport
 - a box-pushing system with n » 1 robots (Kube and Zhang)
 - (SIZE-INF, COM-NONE, NA, NA, NA, PROC-FSA, CMP-HOM)
 - homogeneous and heterogeneous robot teams in box-pushing under ALLIANCE (Parker)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-BROAD, BAND-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)





Case Studies (con'd)

- box-pushing with legged robots (Mataric)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-ADD, BAND-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HET)
- a multiple mobile robot system for coordinated material transportation (Hirata)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-BROAD, BAND-LIM, ARR-STATIC, PROC-TME, CMP-HET)
- Coordinated Sensing (Jenkin and Dudek)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-BROAD, BAND-LIM, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)





Case Studies (con'd)

- Robot Soccer
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-INF, TOP-BROAD, BAND-MOTION, ARR-DYN, PROC-TME, CMP-HOM)
- Moving in Formation
 - a collection of control laws (Desai)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-ADD, BAND-INF, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HET)
 - leader-follower experiments (Dudek)
 - (SIZE-LIM, COM-NEAR, TOP-BROAD, BAND-LIM, ARR-COMM, PROC-TME, CMP-HET)





Conclusions

- A Taxonomy Provides a Common Language
- Serves Dual Functions
 - allowing concise description of key characteristics of different collectives
 - describing the extent of the space of possible designs







Questions?





© Lan Lin March 11, 2003