

# CS560 Midterm

## Spring 2008

### 1. Scheduling Algorithms

#### 1.A. Multilevel feedback queues (23 points)

Tell me in as much detail as possible, everything you know about multilevel feedback queues. At a minimum, explain: what causes a process to move up or down in the queue hierarchy, what the relative sizes of the time quanta are, and what performance metric is optimized for each process type.

SOLUTION

**2 points** - Three queues (or multiple queues)

**3 points** - Processes move down in queues by not relinquishing cpu voluntarily

**3 points** - Processes move up by relinquishing cpu voluntarily or waiting too long

**3 points** - 2nd level queue time quantum is same or double 1st level queue

**3 points** - 3rd level queue time quantum is 10 times 2nd level queue or infinite

**3 points** - Processes run from given queue only if all higher level queues empty

**3 points** - CPU-bound processes spend less time context switching

**3 points** - IO-bound processes spend less time waiting

#### 1.B. Time quantum too small (2 points)

In the case of a round-robin scheduling algorithm, what is the disadvantage if the time quantum too small?

SOLUTION

**2 points** - CPU-bound processes spend too much time context switching

#### 1.C. Time quantum too big (2 points)

What is the disadvantage if the time quantum is too big.

SOLUTION

**2 points** - IO-bound processes spend too much time waiting

#### 1.D. Time quantum just right (3 points)

What is the rule of thumb for sizing the time quantum correctly?

SOLUTION

**3 points** - 80% of CPU bursts expire before the timer interrupt fires

## 2. KThreads

### 2.A. *setjmp, longjmp (18 points)*

Tell me in as much detail as possible, everything you know about how the `setjmp` and `longjmp` calls are used in the implementation of the KThreads system (Hint: `setjmp` and `longjmp` are used when a thread starts, when a thread context switches, and when a thread exits).

SOLUTION

**2 points** - Start: `setjmp` to fill buffer

**2 points** - Start: change frame/stack pointers

**2 points** - Start: `longjmp` to new buffer

**2 points** - Start: run function or local variables inaccessible

**2 points** - Context switch: `setjmp` in `kt_sched` to fill buffer

**2 points** - Context switch: `longjmp` in `kt_sched` to someone else's buffer

**2 points** - Context switch: `longjmp` later in `kt_sched` back to my buffer

**2 points** - Exit: `setjmp` when starting to fill exit buffer

**2 points** - Exit: `longjmp` when exiting to exit buffer

### 2.B. *Preemption (3 points)*

Are KThreads preemptive?

SOLUTION

**3 points** - No

### 2.C. *kt\_fork (6 points)*

When exactly is it that the function passed as an argument to the `kt_fork` call gets a piece of the cpu?

SOLUTION

**3 points** - The function gets put on the ready queue at start time

**3 points** - When the scheduler decides it is that job's turn, it gets the cpu

### 3. Deadlock

#### 3.A. Conditions (5 points)

What are the 4 conditions necessary for deadlock.

SOLUTION

**1.25 points** - Hold and wait

**1.25 points** - Circular wait

**1.25 points** - Mutual exclusion

**1.25 points** - No preemption

#### 3.B. Which (5 points)

Which ones can be broken in code?

SOLUTION

**2.5 points** - Hold and wait

**2.5 points** - Circular wait

1 person said all 4 conditions can be broken in code (book answer - full credit)

#### 3.C. How (5 points)

Describe in general terms, how one would accomplish this?

SOLUTION

**2.5 points** - Break hold and wait by only locking resources if all resources can be locked

**2.5 points** - Break circular wait by locking resources in order

-1 point if you said resources must be unlocked in order

+1 point if you mentioned safe state

### 3.D. Coding example 1 (9 points)

Can the following code deadlock? Why or why not? (After a process calls **lock\_multiple()** it will not call **lock\_multiple()** again until it has called **unlock\_multiple()** with the same list with which it called **lock\_multiple()**. Processes only lock resources using **lock\_multiple()**).

```
typedef struct {
    int instance;
    char *name;
    Semaphore *s;
} Resource;

void lock_multiple(Dllist resources)
{
    JRB t, tmp;
    Dllist dtmp;
    Resource *r;
    char *key;

    t = make_jrb();
    dll_traverse(dtmp, resources) {
        r = (Resource *) dtmp->val.v;
        key = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (strlen(r->name)+20));
        sprintf(key, "%10d %s", r->instance, r->name);
        jrb_insert_str(t, key, new_jval_v((void *) r));
    }
    while (!jrb_empty(t)) {
        r = (Resource *) t->flink->val.v;
        key = t->flink->key.s;
        P(r->s);
        jrb_delete_node(t->flink);
        free(key);
    }
    jrb_free_tree(t);
}

void unlock_multiple(Dllist resources)
{

```

```
Dllist tmp;  
Resource *r;  
  
dll_traverse(tmp, resources) {  
    r = (Resource *) tmp->val.v;  
    V(r->s);  
}  
return;  
}
```

SOLUTION

**3 points** - No

**3 points** - Circular wait was broken

**3 points** - Resources locked in order

*3.E. Coding example 2 (9 points)*

Can the following code deadlock? Why or why not?

```
#define NTHREADS (10)

pthread_mutex_t *locks[NTHREADS];

void *thread(void *arg)
{
    int id, *ip;

    ip = (int *) arg;
    id = *ip;

    while(1) {
        if (id == 0) {
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[0]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[1]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[NTHREADS-1]);
        } else if (id == NTHREADS - 1) {
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[0]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[NTHREADS-2]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[NTHREADS-1]);
        } else {
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[id-1]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[id]);
            pthread_mutex_lock(locks[id+1]);
        }
        sleep(random%10+1);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(locks[(id+NTHREADS-1)%NTHREADS]);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(locks[id]);
        pthread_mutex_unlock(locks[(id+1)%NTHREADS]);
    }
}

main()
{
```

```

int i;
pthread_t t[NTHREADS];
pthread_attr_t attrs[NTHREADS];
int ids[NTHREADS];

for (i = 0; i < NTHREADS; i++) {
    locks[i] = (pthread_mutex_t *) malloc(sizeof(pthread_mutex_t));
    pthread_mutex_init(locks[i]);
}

for (i = 0; i < NTHREADS; i++) {
    ids[i] = i;
    pthread_attr_init(&attrs[i]);
    pthread_attr_setscope(&attrs[i], PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM);
    pthread_create(&t[i], &attrs[i], thread, (void *)ids[i]);
}
pthread_exit(NULL);
}

```

## SOLUTION

**3 points** - No

**3 points** - Circular wait was broken

**3 points** - Resources locked in order



#### 4. Semaphores (7 points)

Describe in the broadest possible terms how one might allow no more than two threads at a time into a critical section of code using a semaphore (Hint: Your answer should, at a minimum, include the use the following calls: `make_kt_sem`, `P_kt_sem`, `V_kt_sem`).

SOLUTION

**3 points** - Call `make_kt_sem(2)`

**2 points** - Begin critical section with `P_kt_sem()`

**2 points** - End critical section with `V_kt_sem()`